Endpoints and Populations and Trial Designs for Clinical Trials in NASH Indications

Lara Dimick-Santos, MD
Food and Drug Administration
The Liver Forum
November 12, 2015



The views presented are mine and do not reflect the official position of the FDA

Populations - Phase 1 & 2

- The Division has been advising:
 - Early phase trials proof-of-concept
 - Best to use patients with biopsy proven NASH, but acceptable to use patients at high risk for NASH
 - Dose-ranging trials phase 2
 - Biopsy proven NASH (NAS ≥ 4)
 - Include patients with NASH and liver fibrosis
 - Trials to inform the phase 3 design
 - Best to use patients with NASH and ≥ Fibrosis stage 2



- The Division has been advising
 - Trials to support a marketing application
 - Patients at greater risk for liver related outcomes
 - Patients with NASH and liver fibrosis ≥ 2
 - » NASH/CRN Brunt/Kleiner stage
 - Practicality Shorter time to development of clinical benefit endpoints



- Early phase trials
 - Endpoints should be based on mechanism of drug
 - Consider using improvement in NAS (ballooning & inflammation) and/or fibrosis
- Phase 3 trials
 - Complete resolution of steatohepatitis and no worsening of fibrosis – composite endpoint*
 - At least one point improvement in fibrosis with no worsening of steatohepatitis (no increase in steatosis, ballooning or inflammation), composite

^{*}patient must meet both criteria to be a responder



- Histopathologic progression to cirrhosis
- Death
- Transplant
- Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC)
- Decompensation events
 - Hepatic encephalopathy West Haven ≥ grade 2
 - Variceal bleeding requiring hospitalization
 - Ascites requiring intervention
 - Spontaneous bacteria peritonitis

Clinical Benefit Endpoints that have been used for Compensated Cirrhosis Populations

- Death
- Transplant
- Decompensation Events
- HCC
 - Unknown if risk would be decreased in Tx group may exclude
- MELD score change by > 2 points <u>or</u> MELD increase to > 15 in population enrolled with ≤ 13



- Requires that the drug be studied further to verify and describe its clinical benefit
- Generally these trial(s) should be ongoing at the time of marketing approval



- Given that the patients studied to establish the treatment effect on the surrogate will continue into the verification trial:
 - The (phase 4) trial design should be acceptable by the FDA along with the design of the phase 3 trial that will support the marketing application
 - The Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) for both trials should be acceptable to the FDA before phase 3 starts
 - Alpha must be divided between trials
- Guidance for Industry Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products
 - *http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregul atoryinformation/guidances/ucm358301.pdf

Alternate Trial Designs

- Seamless phase 2/3/4 trial design for Accelerated Approval (Subpart H/E)
 - Advantage of saving time in long run
 - Requires planning entire clinical development plan before phase 2 starts
 - Must submit SAP for review before phase 2 starts

Alternative Trial Designs

- Single Trial to support marketing approval
 - Generally at least two adequate and well-designed trials are required to support a marketing application
 - For the results of a single trial to constitute substantial evidence of efficacy
 - Requires robust and persuasive efficacy data
 - There should be consistent findings across subgroups and study sites
 - Guidance for Industry Providing Clinical Evidence of Effectiveness for Human Drug and Biological Products
 - http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/.../Guidances/ucm078749.pdf

www.fda.gov

Questions?