



Regulatory update from Europe:

Procedures to promote early access of medicinal products to the market

Elmer Schabel MD

The views expressed in this presentation are primarily those of the author and do not necessarily express those of the BfArM, nor of the EMA





Regulatory update from Europe - Overview

- Current tools for "early access"
 - Conditional approval
 - Accelerated assessment
 - Planned updates
- Initiatives to improve early access:
 - Adapative pathways approach
 - PRIME ("proposal to enhance early dialogue to facilitate accelerated assessment of priority medicines")





- Conditional approval
 - Regulation (EC) No 726/2004
 - Article 14 (7)
 - Regulation (EC) No. 507/2006
- Accelerated assessment
 - Regulation (EC) No 726/2004
 - Recital 33 and Article 14 (9)
 - Regulation (EC) No 507/2006
 - Recital 7 referring to Article 14 (9)
- "Other" procedure outside "regular" licenses:
 - "Exceptional circumstances" ("unable to generate comprehensive data")
 - Article 14 (8) of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 not applicable for NASH





Current tools for early access - Overview

Accelerated assessment

 Medicine is of major interest from the point of view of public health and in particular from the viewpoint of therapeutic innovation

- Medicine fulfills unmet medical need
- Medicine targets seriously debilitating or lifethreatening disease, rare disease or is for use in emergency situations in response to a public health threat
- Benefit-risk balance of the product is positive, and benefit to public health of its immediate availability outweigh the risk related to need for additional data
- Comprehensive data expected to be provided after authorisation

- Objective: Faster
 assessment of marketing
 authorisation application
- Objective: Early Authorisation on the basis of less complete clinical data





Planned changes with current ongoing update

(end of consultation phase for draft updated guidelines was: End of September 2015

- Can be applied for by the applicant or requested by the CHMP
- Application and justification to be submitted as part of Module 1.5.5. EU-CTD
- Specific obligations part of the license; yearly re-evaluation of risk-benefit
- Necessary content:
 - justifications to show that the medicinal product falls within the scope of the conditional marketing authorisation Regulation
 - that the requirements for conditional marketing authorisation are fulfilled (see overleaf)
 - applicant's proposal for completion of ongoing or new studies and specific proposals for collection of pharmacovigilance data





- Requirements for conditional approval :
 - Demonstration of a positive risk-benefit on the basis of the available data
 - Display/discussion of the missing evidence ("comprehensive data not yet available")
 - E.g. more "reliable" endpoints, long-term efficacy and safety, further subpopulations etc.
 - Demonstration of the likelhood that "comprehensive" data can be provided
 - Fulfillment of the "unmet medical need"
 - Critical review of current standards, quantification of the problem (epdiomiology), justification how the new medicinal product will meet the unmet needs
 - The benefits to public health of the immediate availability outweigh the risks inherent in the fact that additional data are still required
 - impact of immediate availability on public health, potential risks associated with the fact that "comprehensive data" are not available.





- Update of the Guideline :
 - Consultation phase ended 9/2015; finalisation expected end of 2015
 - Proposed changes:
 - Emphasis on importance of planning conditional marketing authorisation prospectively to ensure swift assessment procedure
 - Emphasis on advantages of engaging in early dialogue with EMA on the development programme, in particular in the context of joint scientific advice with health technology assessment bodies
 - Clarification of how a positive benefit-risk balance should be substantiated wherethere are less complete data
 - Examples and further guidance on the level of evidence that must be provided at the time of authorisation and data that can be provided postauthorisation
 - Updated guidance on extent and type of data required to be included in annual renewal submissions
 - Guidance on when a condition could be considered life threatening or seriously debilitating if these effects are in the long-term
 - Clarification on **fulfillment of unmet medical needs**, i.e. medicines providing major improvements in patient care over existing therapies can be eligible in certain cases





Accelerated Assessment

- Reduces Assessment time from 210 to 150 days
- Has to be requested by the Applicant
- Accelerated Assessment and Conditional Approval may be combined
- Content of the Justification of the "major public health interest"
 - Unmet medical need, available standards
 - Epidemiological data, literature overview, registries etc.
 - The extent to which the new product is expected to meet the unmet medical need
 - Effects of the new product (reference to the data), potential use in clinical practice
 - Display of the strength of the evidence from the point of view of public health
 - A new mechanism of action or a technical innovation per se may not necessarily represent a valid argument





Accelerated Assessment

- Update of the guideline:
 - Consultation phase ended 9/2015; finalisation expected end of 2015
 - Proposed changes
 - More detailed guidance on how to **justify major public health interest**, i.e. fulfillment of unmet medical need
 - Acknowledgment that comprehensive clinical data may not be available in certain situations, allowing accelerated assessment in the context of a conditional marketing authorisation for example
 - Optimisation of the assessment timetable by better balancing evaluation phases to reach a CHMP opinion within 150 days after the start of the marketing authorisation application procedure
 - Intent to request accelerated assessment to be indicated 6-7 months in advance and submission of accelerated assessment request encouraged to take place 2-3 months ahead of marketing authorisation application instead of 10-30 days ahead
 - **Importance of early dialogue** with EMA so that accelerated assessment can be planned well ahead of the submission, e.g. by detailed discussion of the data package at pre-submission meetings





Pilot Project on Adaptive Licensing

- Idea: An iterative development plan (gradual expansion of target population, progressive reduction of uncertainty, Conditional Marketing Authorisation, maybe surrogate endpoints and confirm)
 - (See e.g.: Eichler et al 2012; Woodcock JU et al 2012, Forda SR et al 2013, Baird L et al 2013)
- Started in March 2014
 - Background: Timely access to promising medicines potentially addressing unmet medical needs
 - Discussion of "live assets"
 - Exploration and Development of potential new pathways
 - Including different stakeholders (HTA bodies, academic societies, patient organisations)
 - Help develop an understanding of how future adaptive pathways might be designed





- Invitation to Sponsors sent out in March 2014
 - Submission of ongoing development programmes
 - Should be early stage of development (prior to phase III)
 - "Informal interaction", no formal scientific advice, no binding decisions; "safe harbour brainstorming"
 - Criteria for drug candidates/Content of submissions:
 - Sufficient promise to meet an unmet medical need
 - Evidence to support a positive benefit-risk in a sub-population for initial licensing
 - Commitment for further studies/widening of population
 - Observational part (e.g. registries, e-health records) after initial licensing
 - Role of other stakeholders to be defined
 - Mitigation of off-label use (plans to be presented)





- Statistics (up to 7/2015)
- (for initial evaluation, see also "Adaptive pathways to patients: report on the initial experience of the pilot project": EMA/758619/2014, 15 Dec. 2014)
- 58 products submitted as candidates
- 19 selected for in-depth discussion with company (Stage I)
- 12 Stage I discussions have taken place Of the 19 selected products:
 - •4 SMEs
 - •5 are Orphan drugs
 - •4 are ATMP (Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products)
 - •5 Anticancer
- 9 proposals selected for Stage II (in-depth meeting after Stage I)
 - •(1 ATMP, 5 Orphan, 3 SME; 2 anticancer)
- Main reasons for rejection were:
 - Development too advanced (too late to change anything)
 - Limited learning potential for a pilot (no developed proposal for use of RWD, limited iteration)





- Current Status:
 - Renamed as "Adaptive Pathways Approach"
 - Stage I closed end 2/2015
 - Stage II open to further submissions under the condition of presenting "well-developed" plans
 - Plans for expansion of target population or reduction of uncertainty
 - Ability to engage HTAs an other "downstream" stakeholders
 - Well developed plans for collection of "real-world" postauthorisation data.





PRIME

proposal to enhance early dialogue to facilitate accelerated assessment of priority medicines

- Project started 6/2015
- Aims and Objectives:
 - Better informed development plans,
 - improve quality of marketing authorisation applications
 - promote regulatory awareness
 - Reinforce early dialogue and regulatory support to stimulate innovation,
 - optimise development
 - enable accelerated assessment of Priority Medicines
- Pre-condition for access: availability of adequate non-clinical and exploratory clinical data to justify a potential major public health interest prior to the initiation of confirmatory clinical studies at proof of concept stage
- Earlier Access possible for SMEs
- Just entered public consultation phase (until 23 December)
- Planned Start: March 2016





PRIME

proposal to enhance early dialogue to facilitate accelerated assessment of priority medicines

Procedures:

- Eligibility assessed by SAWP/CHMP
- Compulsory repeated Scientific Advice
- Early assignment of CHMP-Rapporteur
- Early application/decision for accelerated assessment

Conditions:

- Product fulfills the conditions for accelerated assessment
- Data to support eligibility should show:
 - Potential for major therapeutic advantage
 - impact on the onset and duration of the condition, or
 - improving the morbidity or mortality of the disease





PRIME

proposal to enhance early dialogue to facilitate accelerated assessment of priority medicines

Support features:

- Scientific advice including multiple stakeholders (e.g. patient representatives; HTAs)
- Initial kick-off meeting of all involved network bodies
- Early assignment of Rapporteurship
- Early decision on accelerated assessent with confirmation shortly before submission

Further reading:





Summary: Comparison FDA-EMA

EMA FDA

Conditional approval

Approval of a drug for serious debilitating/life threatening diseases, less complete data; unmet medical need, positive risk-benefit ratio

Accelerated assessment

CHMP opinion given within 150 days instead of 210 days

No direct equivalent

ITF/SME office, Scientific Advice and Protocol Assistance, Biomarker Qualification New: PRIME

No direct equivalent

ITF/SME office, Scientific Advice and Protocol Assistance, Biomarker Qualification New: PRIME

Accelerated approval

Approval of drug for serious lor life threatening conditions based on effect observed on surrogate endpoint reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit

Priority review

Regulatory review period shortened from standard 10 months to 6 months

Fast track designation

Facilitate development and expedited review of drugs through more frequent FDA interaction and rolling of review data

Breakthrough designation

Expedite the development and review through more intensive FDA guidance and commitment to involve senior management





Take home message:

- Talk to the regulators early -

Thank you for your attention!





