PREVALENCE AND IMPACT OF BASELINE NS5A RAVS ON THE EFFICACY OF ELBASVIR/GRAZOPREVIR (EBR/GZR) AGAINST GT1A INFECTION

> Todd A. Black, Ph. D. Executive Director, Infectious Diseases Merck Research Laboratory



- The transition of NS5A RAV testing from research use to the clinic has been complicated by:
 - a lack of standardization in testing and reporting of individual samples and studies, leading to 'apples to oranges comparisons' in publications and publicly available guidance documents
 - a lack of understanding of the impact of methodology on the interpretation of RAV test results among HCV treaters
- The magnitude of the potential impact of baseline NS5A RAVs on efficacy depends on:
 - The method used to detect RAVs
 - The NS5A substitutions that are considered RAVs
 - The duration of therapy and whether ribavirin (RBV) is included
 - The patient population and viral genotype/sub-genotype
- We evaluated the effect of methodology and RAV definition on the observed impact of baseline NS5A RAVs on the efficacy of elbasvir/grazoprevir (EBR/GZR) among GT1-infected patients
 - Two detection assays were used: Population sequencing (~25% ST) and next generation sequencing (NGS) at 1% ST
 - Two definitions of RAVs were used: EBR RAVs (specific variants identified in pre-clinical or clinical trials of EBR) and NS5A Class RAVs (variants at any position associated with resistance to any NS5A inhibitor)

TWO CLASSES OF GT1A RAVS

- NS5A Class RAVs (K24*, M28*, Q30*, L31*, P32*, S38*, H58*, A92*, Y93*)
- A subset of NS5A Class RAVs that met one or more of these criteria were termed "EBR RAVs"
 - Identified in *in vitro* replicon selection for resistance assays
 - Recombinant replicons with RAVs observed for other NS5A inhibitors causing *in vitro* potency shifts > 5X for EBR
 - Identified as treatment-emergent RAVs in Phase 1b monotherapy studies
 - Treatment-emergent in virologic failures or in Phase 2 or 3

	Position								
Group	K24	M28	Q30	L31	P32	S38	H58	A92	Y93
EBR RAVs	_¶	A, G, T	D, E, H, G K, L, R	F, M, V	_¶	_¶	D	_¶	C, H, N, S
NS5A Class RAVs	Any	Any	Any	Any	Any	Any	Any	Any	Any

[¶]No EBR RAVs identified at this position

ANALYSIS POPULATION

- Populations Evaluated
 - GT1a-infected patients in Phase 2/3 Program (C-WORTHy, C-EDGE TN, C-EDGE CO-IFXN, C-EDGE TE, C-SURFER)
 - Treatment-Naïve (TN) or Treatment-Experienced (TE) patients who had failed prior therapy with pegylated interferon and ribavirin (PR)
 - Included patients with cirrhosis, chronic kidney disease, and/or HIV co-infection
- Treatment Regimens—focus on optimal regimens for specific sub-populations⁺
 - EBR/GZR for 12 weeks (no ribavirin) for treatment-naïve and prior relapse patients
 - EBR/GZR for 16/18 weeks (with ribavirin) for prior PR non-responders
- Analyses Conducted in the Resistance Analysis Population (RAP)
 - Includes 960/986 (97.4%) of the patients in the Full Analysis Set (FAS) of the studies
 - Excludes 21/986 (2.1%) patients who did not achieve SVR for reasons other than virologic failure (loss-to-follow-up; withdrawal from study, etc.)
 - Excludes 5/986 (0.5%) patients for whom baseline NS5A sequencing data was not available, either due to missing sample or failed amplification
- Primary endpoint: SVR12

DIFFERENCES IN SEQUENCING FORMAT AND NGS SENSITIVITY THRESHOLDS (ST) RESULT IN DIFFERENCES IN EFFICACY FINDINGS AMONG PATIENTS WITH BASELINE NS5A RAVS

NS5A RAV Analysis

- NGS at 10% and PopSeq are equally efficient at identifying patients harboring baseline NS5A RAVs that reduce the efficacy of EBR/GZR
- NGS at 1% sensitivity threshold (NGS 1%ST) identifies more patients with RAVs than NGS at 10% sensitivity threshold (NGS 10%ST) or PopSeq
 - The efficacy of EBR/GZR remains high among patients identified as harboring baseline NS5A RAVs at the 1% ST but who are not identified at the 10% ST

Assay	Observed Prevalence of EBR RAVs	No EBR RAVs SVR12	With EBR RAVs SVR12	% NS5A RAVs associated VFs Identified
Population Sequencing	5.8%	383/392 (97.7%)	14/24 (58.3%)	10/12 (83.3%)
Next Generation Sequencing				
≥10% ST	6.7%	381/389 (98.0%)	17/28 (60.7%)	11/12 (91.7%)
>1 to <10% ST	3.1%	12/13 (92.3%)	12/13 (92.3%)	1/12 (8.3%)
≥1% ST	9.8%	369/376 (98.1%)	29/41 (70.7%)	12/12 (100%)

Example: Treatment-naïve GT1a-infected patients who received 12 weeks of GZR/EBR (no RBV)

NS5A L31 RAVS: IMPACT OF THRESHOLD OF DETECTION ON EBR/GZR IN TN PATIENTS*

NS5A RAV Analysis

When L31M RAV is present below the 15-20% sensitivity threshold (unless in combination with another RAV) SVR12 is 100%

	NS5A VARIANT			RELATIVE PERCENTAGE			
Patient	Variant #1	Variant #2	Variant #3	Variant #1	Variant #2	Variant #3	
1	L31M			2.66	0	0	
2	L31M			2.75	0	0	
3	L31M			3.65	0	0	
4	L31M			6.46	0	0	
5	L31M	M28V		11.88	1.85	0	
6	L31M			14.36	0	0	
7	L31M	Q30R		15.94	77.82	0	
8	L31M			36.91	0	0	
9	L31M	M28V	Q30E	43.68	3.6	4.53	
10	L31M			98.83	0	0	
11	L31M	Q30H		99.2	15.47	0	
12	L31M	L31V	M28T	1.1	99.69	1.02	
13	L31V			99.58	0	0	
14	L31V			99.69	0	0	
15	L31V			99.71	0	0	

SVR Achieved

SVR NOT Achieved

*Analysis includes subjects from Phase 3 TN Patients: PN060/PN061

DIFFERENCES IN THE DEFINITION OF RAVS RESULT IN DIFFERENCES IN EFFICACY FINDINGS AMONG PATIENTS WITH BASELINE NS5A RAVS

NS5A RAV Analysis

Example: Treatment-naïve GT1a-infected patients who received 12 weeks of GZR/EBR (no RBV)

		EBR RAVs		NS5A Class RAVs			
Assay	Observed EBR RAV Prevalence	SVR12	% NS5A RAVs associated VFs Identified	Observed NS5A Class RAV Prevalence	SVR12	% NS5A RAVs associated VFs Identified	
Population Sequencing	5.8%	14/24 (58.3%)	10/12 (83.3%)	20.2%	72/84 (85.7%)	12/14 (85.7%)	
NGS (10% ST)	6.7%	17/28 (60.7%)	11/12 (91.7%)	21.8%	78/91 (85.7%)	13/14 (92.9%)	
NGS (1% ST)	9.8%	29/41 (70.7%)	12/12 (100%)	35.0%	132/146 (90.4%)	14/14 (100%)	

AMONG GT1a TREATMENT-NAÏVE/PRIOR RELAPSERS WITH BASELINE NS5A RAVS, THE EFFICACY OF EBR/GZR (12 WKS, NO RBV) VARIES FROM 58% TO 91%, DEPENDING ON METHODOLOGY

Elbasvir Grazoprevir (50 mg) (100 mg)

NS5A RAV Analysis



[†]NGS with 1% ST supplemented by Population Sequencing when NGS not available. [‡] One GT1a was missing baseline population sequencing data but had baseline NGS data EBR RAV List = For GT1a: M/L28T/A/G, Q/R30E/H/R/G/K/L/D, L31M/V/F, H58D, or Y93C/H/N/S NS5A Class RAV List = Any variant from reference strain at NS5A position 24, 28, 30, 31, 32, 38, 58, 92 or 93 AMONG GT1a PR NON-RESPONDERS WITH BASELINE NS5A RAVS, THE EFFICACY OF EBR/GZR (12 WKS, NO RBV) VARIES FROM 29% TO 76%, DEPENDING ON METHODOLOGY

Elbasvir (50 mg) Grazoprevir (100 mg) NS5A RAV Analysis

Population Sequencing Next Generation Sequencing at 1% ST⁺ **EBR RAVS** NS5A Class RAVS EBR RAVS NS5A Class RAVS **No RAVS:** No RAVS: **No RAVS: No RAVS:** PREVALENCE 59/68 47/68 54/68 61/68 (87%) (79%) (69%) (90%) 31% 21% 13% 10% 97% 96% 97% 96% 76% 100% 64% 44% 29% 80% SVR12 60% 40% <u>59</u> 57 52 9 45 16 20% 2 <u>4</u> 61 59 47 21 54 14 9 0% EBR RAVs NS5A class RAVs EBR RAVs NS5A class RAVs Population sequencing Next generation sequencing

Patients without RAVs Patien

Patients with RAVs

[†]NGS 1% ST supplemented by Population Sequencing when NGS not available. [‡] One GT1a was missing baseline population sequencing data but had baseline NGS data EBR RAV List = For GT1a: M/L28T/A/G, Q/R30E/H/R/G/K/L/D, L31M/V/F, H58D, or Y93C/H/N/S

NS5A Class RAV List = Any variant from reference strain at NS5A position 24, 28, 30, 31, 32, 38, 58, 92 or 93

GT1a-Infected TN/TE Subjects given EBR/GZR 12 weeks (no RBV)

RAV Position	SVR12 Subjects with RAVs (1% ST NGS)	SVR12 Subjects With RAVs (Population Sequencing)		
24	15/18 (83.3%)	4/4 (100.0%)		
28	61/68 (89.7%)	29/33 (87.9%)		
30	14/23 (60.9%)	4/10 (40.0%)		
31	15/23 (65.2%)	5/13 (38.5%)		
32	1/1 (100.0%)			
38	9/9 (100.0%)			
58	75/77 (97.4%)	48/49 (98.0%)		
92	6/6 (100.0%)	3/3 (100.0%)		
93	9/14 (64.3%)	5/8 (62.5%)		

NGS using 1% ST supplemented by Population Sequencing when NGS not available NS5A Class RAV List = Any variant from reference strain at NS5A position 24, 28, 30, 31, 32, 38, 58, 92 and 93

RELAPSE RATES IN TN/TE(PR) GT1A SUBJECTS BY NUMBER OF BASELINE NS5A RAVS (POPULATION SEQUENCING)

NICEA DAVIA	•
	VCIC
NJJA NAV AIIdi	

Number		EBR RAV List		M28*, Q30*, L31*, H58*, Y93*			
of BL RAVs	Relapses	All Subjects	Relapse rate	Relapses	All Subjects	Relapse rate	
EBR/GZR (No RBV) for 12 Weeks							
1	11	27	41%	11	79	14%	
2	3	4	75%	3	4	75%	
3	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
Total	14	31	45%	15	84	18%	
EBR/GZR + RBV for 16/18 Weeks							
1 RAVs	0	6	0%	0	22	0%	
2,3 RAVs	0	1	0%	0	1	0%	

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- Presence of certain NS5A RAVs at baseline among patients with GT1a infection have been shown to reduce the efficacy of regimens containing NS5A inhibitors
- The magnitude of this lower efficacy is impacted by the methodology used to detect RAVs and the list of RAVs chosen for evaluation. Among GT1a-infected patients given EBR/GZR (no RBV) for 12 weeks:
 - 1) With population sequencing or 10% ST NGS and a focus on a small set of EBR RAVs, the efficacy of EBR/GZR is reduced in the presence of NS5A RAVs at baseline
 - 2) With 1% ST NGS and a broad definition of NS5A RAVs, the impact of baseline NS5A RAVs on the efficacy of EBR/GZR is minimal
- Currently, guidance documents regarding the impact of NS5A RAVs report findings with varying methodologies, so it is difficult for practitioners to compare treatment regimens
- Development of standardized methods and definitions will enable a better understanding of how to optimize therapy and assess "resistance"