

WORKING GROUP REPORT: Disease Definitions for NAFLD

Liver Forum Meeting
Barcelona

BACKGROUND

- NAFLD is a heterogeneous entity with lack of consensus definition
- Multiple histological classification
- Limited guidance regarding biomarker and diagnostics development

OVERALL GOAL

- To improve the efficiency of regulatory approval, the Disease Definitions Working groups were convened to clarify stages of NAFLD for future clinical trials using both histological and non-invasive methods

METHODOLOGY

- The Disease Definition Working groups consisted of representatives from
 - Regulatory agencies (FDA and EMA)
 - Professional societies (AASLD and EASL)
 - Pharmaceutical and diagnostic industry
 - Academia
- Seven sub-groups were identified

Seven Sub-Groups

1. Isolated Hepatic Steatosis (Manal Abdelmalek)
2. Indeterminate NASH (Stephen Harrison)
3. Definite NASH without fibrosis (Quentin Anstee)
4. NASH with early fibrosis (Vlad Ratziu)
5. NASH with bridging fibrosis (Laurent Castera)
6. NASH with compensated cirrhosis (Scott Friedman)
7. NASH with decompensated cirrhosis (Brent Tetri)

METHODOLOGY

- Each group group tasked with defining
 - Histology (grade, activity, stage)
 - Clinical phenotype
 - Non-invasive biomarkers
- Subgroups reconvened for further consensus building

RESULTS

- Disease states consolidated
 - **NAFL** (Isolated hepatic steatosis, indeterminate NASH)
 - **NASH** (NASH without fibrosis, NASH with early fibrosis, and NASH with bridging fibrosis)
 - **NASH cirrhosis** (compensated and decompensated)

NAFL

- Histological definition: >5% steatosis
 - Can have minimal lobular inflammation
 - No cytological ballooning or fibrosis
- Histological subtypes:
 - Isolated hepatic steatosis
 - Indeterminate NASH
 - Steatofibrosis

NAFL – Comparison of Histological Scoring Systems

CRITERIA	NASH-CRN	SAF	GOODMAN
Objective	Yes	Yes	Yes
Subjective	No	No	No
Quantifiable	Yes	Yes	No
Sensitive to Change	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Externally validated	Yes	Yes	No
Used in clinical trials	Multiple	No	No

NAFL-Comparison of Non-Invasive Biomarkers

CRITERIA	Models	CK-18	Ultrasound	CAP	MRI/MRS
Objective	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Subjective	No	No	Yes	No	No
Quantifiable (Separation of Steatosis Grade)	No	No	No	No	Yes
Inter-observer reliability	N/A	N/A	Moderate	Moderate	High
Sensitive to change	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Yes
Externally Validated	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Used in clinical Trials	No	No	No	No	Yes

NAFL Summary

- Histological definition: >5% steatosis
 - Can have minimal lobular inflammation
 - No cytological ballooning or fibrosis
- NASH-CRN is most validated but SAF is quantifiable and promising
- Non-invasive models are not quantifiable
- MRI/MRS is quantifiable and sensitive to change over time

NASH Histological Definition

- Histological definition:
 - Steatosis, ballooning, lobular inflammation
 - Cannot have cirrhosis (F4)
- Histological Subtypes:
 - NASH without fibrosis
 - NASH with non-advanced fibrosis (F1-F2)
 - NASH with bridging fibrosis (F3)

NASH – Comparison of Histological Scoring Systems

CRITERIA	NASH-CRN	SAF	GOODMAN
Objective/Subjective	Both	Obj.	Obj.
Quantifiable	Yes	Yes	No
Sensitive to Change	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Externally validated	Yes	Yes	No
Used in clinical trials	Multiple	None	None

NAFL-Comparison of Non-Invasive Biomarkers

CRITERIA	Models	CK-18	Ultrasound	VCTE	MRI/MRS
Able to differentiate NASH vs. NAFL	No	No	No	No	No
Quantifiable (Separation of Fibrosis Grade)	Limited	No	No	Limited	Yes
Inter-observer reliability	High	High	Moderate	Mod-High	High
Sensitive to change	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Limited
Externally Validated	No	No	No	No	Yes
Used in clinical Trials	No	No	No	No	Yes

NASH Summary

- NASH cannot be distinguished non-invasively
- NASH-CRN criteria is sensitive to change and has been used in clinical trials.
- Although SAF is quantifiable, more data is necessary to determine sensitivity to change
- Fibrosis can be quantified via MRE but more data is necessary
- More data with Fibroscan[®] and non-invasive biomarkers is needed

NASH Cirrhosis

- No definitive test
 - Histology may or may not show steatosis or steatohepatitis
 - Cryptogenic cirrhosis + history of features of MetS
 - F4 Fibrosis Stage
- Compensated vs. Decompensated
- May or may not have components of metabolic syndrome

NASH Cirrhosis

- Non-invasive biomarkers need further validation
- MRE is highly accurate
- HVPG can be utilized
- TE needs further validation

NASH Cirrhosis Summary

- Diagnosis does not solely rely on histology
- Biopsy is not always required
- MRE and Fibroscan[®] can be used to diagnosis cirrhosis but more data is needed
- HVPG can be used to follow portal HTN

Gaps in Knowledge

- Non-invasive biomarkers
 - Sensitivity to change (grade, activity, stage)
 - Differentiating NASH vs. NAFL
- Natural history of the disease
 - Non-NASH categories
 - Clinical phenotypes of at risk patients