# 

# Global drug resistance following ART scale up

Dr. Ravindra K. Gupta Wellcome Trust Senior Fellow, UCL, London UK Hon. Consultant, Infectious Diseases UCLH, London UK

# welcome trust



## Public health approach to ART

First Line: 2 NRTI + NNRTI (EFV or NVP)

- Viral failure of 15-35% in high prevalence areas<sup>1</sup>

Second Line: 2 NRTI + bPI (ATV/r, LPV/r, DRV/r) Cytosine analogue maintained

<sup>1</sup>Boender et al, Clin Infect Dis 2015



# Lack of viral load monitoring linked to increased resistance following AZT or d4T failure at 12mo



Gupta RK et al, Lancet Infect Dis 2009



# Duration of viral failure of AZT/d4T based cART linked to emergence of resistance



Hoffmann CJ et al, Clin Infect Dis 2009

#### Tenofovir as treatment



- •Tenofovir is now the preferred NRTI as 1<sup>st</sup> line cART (also the preferred anti-HBV drug)
- •TDF+FTC outperforms ABC+3TC at high viral loads<sup>1</sup>
- •Effective treatment for HBV
- •Scale up of TDF globally is occurring...

<sup>1</sup>Sax PE et al, NEJM 2009



•Single amino acid change leads to TDF resistance (K65N/R, K70E/G/Q)

•Scattered reports of high (>50%) prevalence of TDF resistance in TDF virologic failures from Nigeria<sup>1</sup> and South Africa<sup>2</sup>.

•Prevalence of TDF resistance in clinical trials was low (0% of virologic failures in GS 934 at 144 weeks<sup>3</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>Etiebet MA et al, AIDS 2013; <sup>2</sup>Sunpath H et al, AIDS 2012; <sup>3</sup>Margot et al, JAIDS 2009



#### SAME AGENT WITH LOW GENETIC BARRIER FOR BOTH TREATMENT AND PreP...



### Aims

- To quantify regional prevalence of tenofovir resistance
- To identify risk factors for tenofovir resistance
- To assess transmission potential of TDF resistance



# Methodology

- Retrospective multi-centre study
- Covariates of interest: region, baseline CD4, baseline viral load, co-administered drugs (NVP vs EFV; and 3TC vs FTC), age, sex.



# Methodology

- >15 years old at cART initiation
- First line virologic failure (local thresholds)
- TDF as first line drug + FTC/3TC + EFV or NVP
- Genotypic resistance test successful
- Absence of Thymidine analogue mutations

#### Results

#### Countries contributing data and HIV-1 subtype distribution by region



#### N=1926

36 countries represented Included cohorts and some clinical trials Around a third of data previously unpublished

#### Prevalence of tenofovir resistance

Percentage





### Baseline CD4 impact on TDF resistance



#### **Baseline Viral load impact on TDF resistance**



# **UCL**

#### BaselineCD4/Viral load impact on TDF resistance





# TDF Resistance is usually accompanied by high level NNRTI resistance and M184V/I







# Prior thymidine analogue exposure revealed in those with virologic failure of Tenofovir + xTC + NNRTI

	No. with ≥ 1 TAM	Lamivudine resistance (M184V/I)	Tenofovir resistance (K65R/N, K70E/G/Q)	Major NNRTI resistance (Multiple)
Eastern Africa	27	26 (96%)	22 (81%)	16 (59%)
Southern Africa	75	62 (83%)	61 (81%)	57 (76%)
West/Central Africa	14	14 (100%)	11 (79%)	14 (100%)



Region / Study	Ν		RR (95% CI)	% Weight
West/Central Africa	21		1 54 (0 95, 2 51)	3.66
ANRS West Africa, Senegal	8		1.00 (0.20, 4.95)	1.20
CDC Nigeria ADR	7 -	e	0.50 (0.04, 6.17)	1.25
Lubumbashi. DRC	13		1.50 (0.57, 3.95)	1.39
Ndembi, Nigeria	15	── <del>─</del> ┼───	0.81 (0.19, 3.47)	1.71
Nigeria, Kanki	24		1.22 (0.83, 1.80)	4.78
Subtotal (I-squared = $0.0\%$ , p = 0.	.845)	$\diamond$	1.20 (0.86, 1.67)	13.98
Southern Africa Africa Centre, South Africa Bloemfontein, South Africa	81 102		1.34 (1.10, 1.63) 1.10 (0.89, 1.37)	15.14 22.25
CDC Zambia ADR	17	<b> =</b>	1.17 (0.47, 2.93)	2.26
Durban, South Africa	58		1.07 (0.65, 1.78)	6.58
KZN, South Africa	115	-;■-	1.53 (1.10, 2.13)	11.61
MSF Swaziland	26	-+ <del>=</del>	1.38 (0.69, 2.72)	2.96
OCTANE South Africa	16	++	2.67 (0.87, 8.17)	0.80
PASER Zambia	23		2.03 (0.78, 5.31)	1.09
Subtotal (I-squared = $0.0\%$ , p = $0.0\%$	.515)	<b></b>	1.29 (1.13, 1.47)	62.69
Eastern Africa				
CDC Kenya ADR	56		1.17 (0.87, 1.58)	11.53
CDC Uganda ADR	6		1.29 (0.45, 3.67)	1.40
PASER Uganda	16		1.17 (0.26, 5.29)	1.20
TDF AMPATH, Kenya	31	+ <b>-</b> -	1.29 (0.88, 1.89)	4.74
UVRI/MoH Uganda surveillance st	udy 41		1.54 (0.96, 2.46)	4.46
Subtotal (I-squared = $0.0\%$ , p = 0.	.922)	$\mathbf{e}$	1.27 (1.03, 1.57)	23.33
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.9	962)	•	1.27 (1.14, 1.41)	100.00
		.1 .2 .5 1 2 5 10		

unpublished



#### Prior ART with thymidine analogue based regimens is associated with more extensive drug resistance following virologic failure of Tenofovir + xTC + NNRTI

	No. with ≥ 1 TAM	Lamivudine resistance (M184V/I)	Tenofovir resistance (K65R/N, K70E/G/Q)	Major NNRTI resistance (Multiple)
Eastern Africa	27	26 (96%)	22 (81%)	16 (59%)
Southern Africa	75	62 (83%)	61 (81%)	57 (76%)
West/Central Africa	14	14 (100%)	11 (79%)	14 (100%)

	No. without TAMs	Lamivudine resistance (M184V/I)	Tenofovir resistance (K65R/N, K70E/G/Q)	Major NNRTI resistance (Multiple)
Eastern Africa	143	61%	57%	42%
Southern Africa	404	59%	56%	59%
West/Central Africa	107	71%	60%	82%



# **Conclusions I**

- Wide variation in prevalence of TDF resistance
- Presence of K65R/N or K70E/Q/G associated with extensive resistance
- Immune status predicts risk of TDF resistance
- NVP (and 3TC) use associated with higher risk of TDF resistance



# **Conclusions II**

• In vivo fitness is independent of tenofovir resistance

• TAMs indicate suboptimal prior ART exposure and are associated with higher likelihood of tenofovir resistance.

• Viral load monitoring should be used before substitution of TDF for thymidine analogues and during 'first line' cART.



### The TenoRes study team

Gregson J, PhD; Tang M, MD; Ndembi N, PhD; Hamers RL, MD PhD; Rhee SY, MD; Marconi VC, MD; Diero L, MD; Brooks K, MD<sup>9</sup>; Theys K, PhD; Rinke de Wit TF, MD PhD<sup>4</sup>; Arruda M, Garcia F, MD, Monge S, PhD, Günthard HF, MD, PhD; Hoffmann CJ, MD; Kanki P, MD; Kumarasamy N, MD; Kerschberger B, Mor O, Charpentier C, PhD, Todesco E, PhD; Rokx C, MD, PhD; Gras L, PhD; Halvas EK, PhD; Sunpath H, MD; Di Carlo D, Antinori A, Andreoni M, Latini A, Mussini C, Aghokeng A, PhD; Sonnerborg A, MD, PhD; Neogi U, PhD; Fessels WJ, Agolory S, Yang C, PhD; Blanco JL, MD, PhD; Juma JMc, Smit E, Schmidt D, Lameck D, Watera C, Asio J, Kirungi W, Tostevin A, PhD; PhD EI-Hay T, Clumeck N MD, PhD; PhD Goedhals D, MD; van Vuuren C, MD; Bester A, MD; Sabin C, PhD; Mukui I, MD; Santoro MM, PhD; Perno CF, MD, PhD; Hunt G, PhD; Morris L, MD PhD; Camacho R, PhD; de Oliveira T, PhD; Pillay D, MD PhD; Dunn D PhD, Kaleebu P, PhD; Raizes E, MD; Kantor R MD PhD; Shafer RW, PhD; Gupta RK, MD PhD

# Acknowledgements



Uganda Virus Research Institute/Ministry of Health (UVRI/MoH) Uganda surveillance study: Fred Lyagoba, Tom Lutalo, Anne, Kapaata, Faith Nanyonga, Chris Parry, Norah Namuwenge, Robert Downing, The HIV Drug Resistance Working group and participants and study teams from the treatment centers at Masaka and Mbale regional referral hospitals and Nsambya Home-Care. This study was funded by PEPFAR grant Nos 1U2GGH000785-01 and 3U2GPS000586-05S1 to UVRI and by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement." The ClinSurv Study Group: Gerd Fätkenheuer, Eugen Schülter, Hans-Jürgen Stellbrink, Christian Noah, Björn-Erik Ole Jensen, Matthias Stoll, Johannes R. Bogner, Josef Eberle, Karolin Meixenberger, Claudia Kücherer, Daniel Schmidt, Christian Kollan, Osamah Hamouda, Barbara Bartmeyer We acknowledge the EuResist Network for providing data for the study The PanAfrican Studies to Evaluate Resistance is an initiative of the Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, with major support provided by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of The Netherlands through a partnership with Stichting Aids Fonds (grant no. 12454).

ACTG 5208 study team: Shahin Lockman, John Mellors, Michael Hughes, Fred Sawe, James McIntyre, Judy Currier. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number UM1 Al068634, UM1 Al068636 and UM1 Al106701. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of HealthThe Lazio and Emilia Romagna Cohorts, Italy: Massimo Andreoni, Andrea Antinori, Domenico Di Carlo, Alessandra Latini, Cristina Mussini, Carlo Federico Perno, Maria Mercedes Santoro.

This work was partly supported by Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Vlaanderen (G.06.92.14N, PDO/11). KT receives a FWO postdoctoral fellowship. We would like to thank patients and personnel from AIDS Reference Center and Laboratory Leuven. The TREAT Asia Studies to Evaluate Resistance (TASER) is an initiative of TREAT Asia, a program of amfAR, The Foundation for AIDS Research, with support from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of The Netherlands through a partnership with Stichting Aids Fonds (grant no. 12454), and the U.S. National Institutes of Health's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, and National Cancer Institute, as part of the International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA; U01AI069907). Queen Elizabeth Hospital and the Integrated Treatment Centre are supported by the Hong Kong Council for AIDS Trust Fund. TREAT Asia is also supported by ViiV Healthcare. The Kirby Institute is funded by the Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, and is affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine, UNSW Australia.





## Thank you!



# **Statistical notes**

## DerSimonian-Laird weighting and estimates of heterogeneity taken from Mantel-Haenszel model

# **UCL**

#### TAMs accumulate at 1.5 per year following initiation of AZT+3TC+NVP



DART-NORA: Prevalence of Thymidine analogue mutations and M184V in patients with paired genotypes (n=36) at week 48 and 96

DART Virology Group, in preparation