

## **Questions on the demonstration of systemic PreP efficacy**

Filip Josephson



## On the design and interpretation of clinical trials

- Are placebo controlled trials ethical?
- If not, is superiority over Truvada attainable?
- If not, can an M1 be justified (constancy of assumptions)?
  - If so, is the required sample size feasible?
- If M1 is not fully justified, can superiority over placebo still be inferred through the totality of evidence (including, e.g., STI rates, adherence monitoring, drug concentrations, viral drug resistance in case of infection)?
- What are the alternatives if a sufficiently powered phase III study with HIV infection as endpoint is not feasible?



## Could acceptable PreP efficacy be inferred without large-scale clinical trials – and how would we get there?

- Could NHP studies provide evidence of human PreP efficacy? Could a NHP-human bridge be constructed? What would be required for this?
- Can PK correlates of protection be established? If so, how? Which measurement matrix?
- To what extent can efficacy conclusions be extrapolated between drugs / drug combinations with the same MoA?
  - -To what extent do measurable differences in tissue distribution (e.g TDF versus TAF) matter?



## (Continued)

- Could it, for all potentially relevant MoA, be assumed that a therapeutic dose effective as an ARV regimen component would also be appropriate for effective PreP therapy (alone or with another drug)?
- Are real differences in PK/PD and efficacy between men and women anticipated?
- Could preclinical and human PK data in combination ever be translated into a reasonably accurate metric of protection?
- Under what circumstances, if any, would subjects at risk, and their prescribers accept an inference of PreP efficacy without a formal demonstration in clinical trials?

