



HBV Forum 2 April 18th 2017 Hilton Amsterdam

www.forumresearch.org



Detection, Assessment and Management of DILI During Drug Development for HBV: The IQ DILI Initiative.

Arie Regev, MD Global Patient Safety Eli Lilly and Company

Outline



Background

- Risk of DILI in HBV patients
- Current Regulatory Guidelines
- □ Hy's law and HBV patients
- eDISH for databases of clinical trials for HBV
- Causality assessment: DILI versus HBV flare
- Hepatic stopping rules
- The IQ DILI Initiative
- Summary

Background of IQ Consortium

- The IQ Consortium (the International Consortium for Innovation and Quality in Pharmaceutical Development), composed of over 40 companies, is a leading science-focused organization with a mission to advance science and technology to augment the capability of member companies to bring transformational solutions that benefit patients, regulators and the broader R&D community.
- The IQ Consortium had been primarily focusing on CMC (Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls), and preclinical to early clinical trial topics (e.g. preclinical safety, drug metabolism, clinical pharmacology); however, it has an interest in expanding into the clinical space.

In June 2016, the IQ Board endorsed the establishment of an IQ initiative on clinical aspects of DILI; "The IQ DILI Initiative"

Gaps in Best Practices and Current Guidances

Patients with pre-existing liver diseases	Hepatitis B, C, metastatic liver disease, alcoholic liver disease, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis				
Special populations	Pediatric, geriatric, oncology, immunosuppressed				
Non-hepatocellular DILI	Cholestatic injury, steatohepatitis, hepatic vascular injury				
Specific drug groups	Immunosuppressives, anti-virals, chemotherapy, cancer immunotherapy				
Drug re-challenge	Is re-challenge with a drug implicated in DILI too dangerous? If not, criteria to define a positive re-challenge test				
Biomarkers	Are there promising biomarkers for predicting idiosyncratic DILI that should be routinely incorporated into clinical protocols? Should prospective blood samples be banked for further study in subjects with liver signals?				

DILI Background



- □ A major cause of terminated drug development and limited use*
- A leading cause of acute liver failure
- □ Most significant DILI events in the clinic are idiosyncratic
- Presently, there are no diagnostic or predictive DILI biomarkers
- ALT is a sensitive but nonspecific marker for hepatocellular DILI
- Animal studies generally do not predict idiosyncratic DILI
- DILI may be predicted by careful data collection and analysis in early phases of clinical development
 **troglitazone, benoxaprofen, bromfenac, ticruynafen, ximelagatran, isoniazid, labetalol, trovafloxacin, felbamat, nefazodone, etc*



Risk of DILI in HBV patients

Are HBV patients susceptible to DILI?

Chronic Hepatitis B and DILI Risk

Hepatology Vol. 31, No. 1, 2000

Antituberculosis Drug-Related Liver Dysfunction in Chronic Hepatitis B Infection

WAI-MAN WONG,¹ PUI-CHEE WU,² MAN-FUNG YUEN,¹ CHI-CHUNG CHENG,¹ WING-WAI YEW,³ POON-CHUEN WONG,³ CHEUK-MING TAM,⁴ CHI-CHIU LEUNG,⁴ AND CHING-LUNG LAI¹

Liver toxicity is a common side effect of antituberculosis (anti-TB) drugs. We studied the differences in liver dysfunction observed during anti-TB treatment between hepatitis B virus carriers (HBV) and noncarriers. Three hundred twentyfour patients on anti-TB drugs were recruited and followed up for 1 year. Forty-three patients with HBV and 276 non-HBV patients were included for analysis. Liver function tests and viral markers were monitored monthly. Liver biopsy was requested whenever the alanine transaminase (ALT) was persistently abnormal. Eighty-six HBV carriers who were not given anti-TB drugs were chosen as a second control and evaluated prospectively. The incidence of liver dysfunction was significantly higher in HBV carriers given anti-TB drugs (34.9%) when compared to noncarriers (9.4%, P < .001) and with HBV carriers not given anti-TB drugs (8.1%, P < .001). For patients given anti-TB drugs, HBV carriers who developed liver dysfunction were younger (P = .011) and had more severe liver injury compared with noncarriers (P = .008). By multiple logistic regression analysis, age (P = .002) and hepatitis B infection (P < .001) were the only 2 significant risk factors for hepatotoxicity related to anti-TB therapy. (HEPATOLOGY 2000;31:201-206.)

Chronic Hepatitis B and DILI Risk

Table 1. Prospective studies on the effect of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) coinfection on severe liver injury (defined as transaminase elevations) in patients with HIV infection who are receiving HAART.

No. of		Average CD4 cell		Relative risk of hepatitis, by infection status		Grade 3 or 4 transaminase elevation	
Study [reference] subjects c	cells/mm ³	Follow-up	Positive ^a	Negative	end point	Other associations	
Martinez et al. [14]	610	279	Every 3 months	2.5 (HCV)	1	Yes	Duration of therapy
Monforte et al. [15]	1255	327	Every 3 months	10.6 (HCV <mark>), 8.4 (HBV)</mark>	1	No	Zidovudine or zalci- tabine therapy
Sulkowskiet al. [1]	298	~200	Every 3 months	3.7 ^b	1	Yes	_
Saves et al. [12]	1253	144	≥1 test	3.2 (HCV) 3.0 (HBV)	1	No	—

 HBV coinfection is associated with a 3-8-fold increase in risk of developing elevated aminotransferase levels during HAART
 M. Bonacini. CID 2004:38 (Suppl 2):S104-S108

Chronic Hepatitis B and DILI Risk

Kim et al. BMC Infectious Diseases (2016) 16:50 DOI 10.1186/s12879-016-1344-2

BMC Infectious Diseases

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Hepatitis C and not Hepatitis B virus is a risk factor for anti-tuberculosis drug induced liver injury

Wan Soo Kim¹, Sang Soo Lee¹, Chang Min Lee¹, Hong Jun Kim¹, Chang Yoon Ha¹, Hyun Jin Kim¹, Tae Hyo Kim¹, Woon Tae Jung¹, Ok Jae Lee¹, Jeong Woo Hong¹, Hyun Seon You^{1*} and Hyun Chin Cho²

Risk of DILI in chronic HBV Patients

- □ Still a matter of debate due to scarce and mixed evidence.
- Generally, it is believed that chronic liver disease does not predispose to DILI¹⁻⁴
- "The often cited warning that drugs known to produce hepatic injury should not be given to patients with liver disease has little foundation in fact"

Hyman Zimmerman

- Advanced pre-existing liver disease may lead to worse outcome when DILI occurs⁵
 - 1. Zimmerman H. Hepatotoxicity: 2nd ed. Philadelphia, 1999.
 - 2. Lewis JH. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2002;1:159–172.
 - 3. Russo MW and Watkins PB. Gastroenterology 2004;126:1477-1480.
 - 4. FDA Guidance for Industry 2009
 - 5. Chalasani N. Gastroenterology 2015;148:1340-1352



Current Guidelines

What do current guidelines teach us about DILI in HBV clinical trials?

Current DILI Guidelines

- □ Presently, most drug makers rely on regulatory guidlines^{1,2} and published position papers³⁻⁵.
- Most published guidelines address comprehensively clinical trials enrolling patients with normal livers.
- □ However, they typically do not cover issues pertaining to patients with preexisting liver diseases such as chronic hep B.
- □ As a result, approaches and practices of assessment of liver safety in HBV patients vary greatly between drug makers.
- 1. FDA. 2009. DILI: premarketing clinical evaluation. Guidance for industry.
- 2. Health Canada. 2012. Guidance document: pre-market evaluation of hepatotoxicity
- 3. Aithal et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2011;89(6):806–15
- 4. Regev et al. Drug Saf;2014:37 (Suppl 1):S47–S56
- 5. Avigan et al. Drug Saf;2014:37 (Suppl 1):S19–S31



Guidance for Industry Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing Clinical Evaluation

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> July 2009 Drug Safety

Hy's Law and chronic HBV patients



Should Hy's law be applied to NASH patients?

Hy's Law Criteria*



- $\Box \quad ALT/AST \ge 3X \text{ ULN}$
- $\Box \quad \text{Total bilirubin} \ge 2X \text{ ULN}$
- □ No initial cholestasis phosphatase < 2X ULN)
- □ No other cause of liver injury



Hyman Zimmerman 1914-1999

*as defined by FDA Guidance for Industry 2009

Hy's Law and DILI Prediction

\Box Hy's Law cases carry >10% risk of live transplant or death

Two Hy's Law cases in a clinical trial of 1,000 subjects

- risk of liver transplant or death: >2:10,000
- risk post marketing: >200 per million

FDA Guidance for Industry. July 2009

³ This constellation of findings is the hallmark of a Hy's Law case. <u>The predictive value of these three findings for a</u> drug's potential to cause DILI may be different if these findings are identified in patients with preexisting liver <u>disease</u>, fatty liver disease such as NASH, chronic hepatitis C or B, or bilirubin metabolism abnormalities (Gilbert syndrome), or in patients on drugs that treat liver disease or that inhibit bilirubin glucuronidation, such as indinavir or atazanavir (Zhang and Chando et al. 2005).

Hy's Law and chronic HBV patients

- □ Chronic HBV patients would often have elevated ALT or TBL at baseline, when enrolled in a clinical trial
- □ Can Hy's law be applied to HBV patients with baseline ALT> 3x ULN?
- Per definition, a case that has another cause for elevated ALT would not meet the full definition of HY's law.



eDISH for NASH Databases

Can eDISH be applied to HBV databases?

eDISH Plot (Evaluate Drug Induced Severe Hepatotoxicity)







Which ALT/AST level should be an exclusion criterion?

ALT/ AST Exclusion Criteria in NASH Clinical Trials

- "If the drug is intended to be prescribed or marketed to such patients after approval, they should be studied during controlled trials."
- \Box ALT> 200 U/L is often encountered in HBV patients.
- Inclusion of patients with high ALT levels may lead to difficulties in detection and monitoring of potential DILI

1. FDA Guidance for Industry. July 2009



Causality Assessment

How can DILI be differentiated from HBV reactivation/flare during drug development?

Causality Assessment



- □ There are still no diagnostic biomarkers for DILI
- Clinical manifestations of DILI may be similar to HBV reactivation or therapeutic flare
- Monitoring of HBV DNA levels may be helpful for differentiation from HBV reactivation but not from a therapeutic flare
- □ HDV infection has to be considered
- □ There is an unmet need for new diagnostic biomarkers



Hepatic Stopping Rules

When should the study drug be discontinued?

Hepatic Stopping Rules

Discontinuation of treatment should be considered if:

1

- ALT or AST >8xULN
- ALT or AST >5xULN for more than 2 weeks
- ALT or AST >3xULN and (TBL >2xULN or INR >1.5)
- ALT or AST >3xULN with the appearance of fatigue, nausea, vomiting, right upper quadrant pain or tenderness, fever, rash, and/or eosinophilia (>5%)
- Discontinuing the drug too early may interfere with understanding the drug's hepatic safety profile, and would potentially increase the risk post-marketing
- Use of multiples of baseline of ALT may be superior to multiples of ULN as a threshold for discontinuation
- \Box A new baseline may have to be established during the trial
- 1. FDA Guidance for Industry. 2009



There is no clear evidence of increased risk of DILI in chronic HBV patients, however patients with advanced disease may have worse outcome when DILI occurs.

Summary

- Current regulatory guidelines do not address specific questions related to detection assessment and monitoring of DILI in HBV patients.
- Hy's law and eDISH may be difficult to apply to all HBV patients, especially those with baseline ALT>3x ULN.
- ALT/AST exclusion criteria may have important implications in HBV studies, and need to be predetermined.
- Hepatic stopping rules may need to be adjusted based on baseline (or best pre-event) ALT/AST levels.
- Studies of large cross-pharma databases and new biomarkers will enable better understanding and improved approaches for detection and assessment of DILI in HBV clinical trials.



Thank you!





Discussion www.forumresearch.org