



OUTLINE

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- Background
- Review of literature reports of ARVrelated adverse events (AEs) in resourcelimited settings
- Forum-IeDEA collaboration: site survey*
- Overview of existing frameworks for defining and grading ARV-related AEs
- Conclusion

www.hivforum.org



BACKGROUND - I

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- Majority of existing data on ARV-related toxicities comes from resource-rich settings
- Public health approach to treatment now being implemented in resource-limited settings focused initially on access
- Information on ARV-related AEs is critical for the continued success of programs

www.hivforum.org



BACKGROUND - II

ncing & facilitating HIV resear

Key differences to consider for ARV-related toxicities in resource-limited settings:

- Population
- Type of therapy
- Health care delivery systems

www.hivforum.org

,

Population: Women, pregnancy, children, co-morbidities, nutritional status, host genetics

Therapy: Standardized regimens, fixeddose combinations, generics, spectrum of drug interactions, traditional/alternative therapies

Health care delivery systems: Human resources, monitoring and diagnostic procedures, regulatory environment



LITERATURE REVIEW OBJECTIVES

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- To identify the most commonly reported ARVrelated AEs in various regions
 - Search I: ARVs
 - o Search II: Treatment of HIV-TB coinfection
 - Search III: Prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT)
- To identify AEs reported as treatment-limiting
- To assess reporting methods and guidelines used to describe ARV-related AEs in published studies
- In addition: review of published literature on laboratory normal ranges in different regions [see background document]

www.hivforum.org

5

I will focus in this presentation on Search I, the most extensive, or ARV-related Aes. Refer to background doc for results of searches II and III.

Main focus here is on the adverse events reported, causality assessment linking to specific drugs not included here, though drug regimens are listed in full tabulation of data in background document. Aim here is to determine who is reporting what and how.



LITERATURE REVIEW METHODS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- Primary electronic literature searches of published peer-reviewed clinical trials, cohort and cross-sectional studies reporting adverse events data from RLS in Africa, South America, Asia
- Additional web searches of recent HIV conferences
- Articles included if specific cases of drug toxicities reported and counted

www.hivforum.org



LITERATURE REVIEW RESULTS I: ARVS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- 40 publications on ARV-related AEs (1999-2007)
 - 2 South America, 15 Southeast Asia, 23 Africa
- 5 most commonly reported AEs in adults:
 - Anemia, rash, neuropathy, lipodystrophy, hepatitis
- Wide range for frequencies by region, drug regimen, type of study; few studies in children in RLS

www.hivforum.org

7

Few reports found from Eastern Europe, China Refer to background doc for details on drug regimens by region/study



enhancing & facilitating HIV research

LITERATURE REVIEW RESULTS II: ARVS

• Predominant treatment-limiting AEs:

- South America: gastrointestinal & hematologic toxicities, neuropathy
- Southeast Asia: lipodystrophy, rash, hepatitis
- Africa: neuropathy, neutropenia, lipodystrophy
- 1/3 of articles clearly referenced use of standardized definitions or severity grading systems
- Other studies relied on individual physician assessment, patient self-report or unreferenced toxicity scales and definitions

www.hivforum.org

8

DAIDS most frequently referenced for severity grading

articles clearly reference dized definitions or sev s tudies relied on individnent, patient self-report y scales and definitions



LITERATURE REVIEW CONCLUSIONS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- A considerable amount of data has been published on ARV-related AEs in different RLS
- ARV-related AEs are not consistently reported; often not specified whether reported AEs were treatment-limiting
- The lack of a uniform reporting style for defining and grading AEs complicates extraction of data for comparison across sites, regions and populations

www.hivforum.org



FORUM-IEDEA COLLABORATION*

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- IeDEA is a network of cohort studies in regions around the world
- The Forum collaborated with the IeDEA
 Pharmacovigilance Working Group in the
 design of site and regional database
 surveys assessing current practices around
 ARV-related toxicity evaluation and
 reporting at IeDEA sites in various regions

www.hivforum.org



IEDEA SITE SURVEY OBJECTIVES

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- To describe monitoring and reporting practices for ARV-related toxicities at various sites in the network
- To assess the use of standardized definitions for reporting of ARV-related AEs
- To determine which sites/regions have developed normative reference ranges for laboratory parameters based on the local/regional HIV uninfected population

www.hivforum.org



IEDEA SITE SURVEY

MAIN SURVEY ITEMS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- Site description
- Guidelines in use for defining/grading AEs
- Practices around documentation and coding AEs, including pregnancy-related AEs, birth outcomes, malignancies
- Frequency and type of laboratory monitoring in adults, pregnant women, children (CD4, VL, CBC, LFTs, creatinine, lipids, lactic acid)
- Cost of laboratory testing for patients (free, not free)
- Standardized definitions utilized at site for major ARV-related AEs
- Top 5 treatment-limiting toxicities at site

www.hivforum.org

12

Description: type of facility, # patients on ARVs, location, PI



IEDEA SITE SURVEY RESULTS - I

- 31 clinics have responded
 - 11 Asia, Australia
 - 5 Central Africa
 - 9 East Africa
 - 1 West Africa
 - 3 Southern Africa
 - 6 Caribbean/Central America/South America
- All active research sites, 10 primary care clinics, 21 referral level
- Represents total of 147,178 patients, of which 57,820 are on ARVs



IEDEA SITE SURVEY

RESULTS - II

- Major sources for classifying and defining adverse events:
 - WHO treatment guidelines
 - DAIDS toxicity tables
 - TAHOD data specifications
 - Clinical experience
- Toxicities assessed at all visits, by a variety of providers; visit schedules varied according to national policies
- All sites document maternal exposure, birth outcomes and malignancies; limited use of registries to record this information

www.hivforum.org

14

enhancing & facilitating HIV research



enhancing & facilitating HIV research

IEDEA SITE SURVEY

RESULTS - III

- Standardized definitions: Less than 50% of sites had standardized definitions for terms in the following AE categories:
 - Musculoskeletal/connective tissue disorders
 - Skin disorders
 - Respiratory disorders
 - Gastrointestinal disorders
 - Nervous system disorders & psychiatric disorders
 - Reproductive disorders
 - Perinatal conditions and congenital disorders
 - General disorders (constitutional symptoms)
 - IRIS

www.hivforum.org





IEDEA SITE SURVEY

RESULTS - IV

- Laboratory testing schedules
 - Schedules vary greatly by region
 - In general, more frequent lab testing in Asia, CCASA, less frequent in Africa
 - Few sites with normal lab reference ranges based on local population
 - Costs of lab tests for patients vary:
 - Free in West, Central Africa for all tests listed
 - Free at many sites in CCASA, East, Southern Africa,
 - Usually not free at sites in Asia
 - However tests may not be conducted at many visits even if free for patients

www.hivforum.org



IEDEA SITE SURVEY

RESULTS - V: LAB TESTING IN ADULTS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

	CBC		LFT		Creatinine		Lactic acid		Lipids	
Sites	BL	Sx	BL	Sx	BL	Sx	BL	Sx	BL	Sx
CCASA	✓	√	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
Asia	>	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓
W Africa	\	\	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓
C Africa	X	\	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X
S Africa	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	✓	X	✓
E Africa	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

 \checkmark : ≥50% of sites X: <50% of sites BL-ARV baseline, Sx-symptoms



enhancing & facilitating HIV research

IEDEA SITE SURVEY RESULTS - VI

• Top 10 treatment-limiting AEs listed by sites:

- 1. Anemia
- 2. Rash
- 3. Peripheral neuropathy
- 4. Lipodystrophy
- 5. Hepatoxicity
- 6. Lipoatrophy
- 7. Dyslipidemia
- 8. IRIS
- 9. Nausea /Vomiting
- 10. Hypersensitivity

www.hivforum.org





SITE SURVEY FEEDBACK

- From the pilot site survey:
- "Protocols should include a step wise approach or algorithm, in response to the abnormal results, to assist clinicians in the appropriate management of toxicities."
 - Johannesburg, South Africa
- "In carrying out research in international settings, it is important to study and define normal value ranges for infants and children as well as adults by country setting as there are clear age related and race-ethnicity differences for international sites compared to US for a number of measures (CD4, hemoglobin, neutrophil count, TLC, creatinine, etc)."
 - Kampala, Uganda

www.hivforum.org



EXISTING FRAMEWORKS FOR DEFINING AND GRADING ARV-RELATED ADVERSE EVENTS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

- Identified based on
 - Initial expert consultations
 - Pilot site survey questionnaire on methods for reporting of AEs in RLS
 - Web searches
 - IeDEA site survey
- Information on existing definitions compiled for an initial list of major AEs in 13 domains

www.hivforum.org

20

Expert consultations included ACTG, ANRS, industry, WHO, TAHOD, HICDEP



EXISTING FRAMEWORKS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

Classification and coding of terms	•MedDRA •WHO-ART •ICD-10				
Definitions and coding, HIV clinical trials groups	•AACTG TOX-EG •PACTG Appendix 40 •ACTG Appendix 60				
Definitions and coding, HIV cohorts	•TAHOD data specifications •HICDEP				
Definitions, for pharmacovigilance	•CIOMS/ MSSO SMQs •CIOMS 1999				
Severity grading and terminology criteria in different patient populations	•DAIDS Table for severity grading •ANRS Table for severity grading •WHO treatment guidelines, adults and adolescents •WHO treatment guidelines: infants and children •CTCAE criteria for adverse events •DMID Toxicity tables •TAHOD data specifications v2.1				

www.hivforum.org





CONCLUSIONS

- Data is available from clinical trials and cohorts on ARV-related AEs in various settings
- A common methodological framework is needed to harmonize definition and reporting of ARV-related AEs
- Normal laboratory reference ranges need to be established for each region to allow appropriate severity grading of toxicities
- Existing frameworks provide a useful basis for deriving standardized definitions for ARV-related AEs but require adaptation for this purpose to generate appropriate definitions applicable in a variety of settings

www.hivforum.org



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

enhancing & facilitating HIV research

• Forum for Collaborative HIV Research

Ben Cheng Abigail Wilkes Linda Onaga Veronica Miller

- IeDEA Pharmacovigilance Working Group
- WHO/HIV

www.hivforum.org