Group #2: Approaches to Pediatric Studies I: Extrapolation of Efficacy

Lead Discussants: Ann Chahroudi Prabha Viswanathan

Regulatory Elements that define extrapolation of efficacy from adults to children

- Disease pathogenesis and outcome is similar in adults and children
 - Immunity may vary among treatment subgroups

Similar Intervention responses relative to toxicity and efficacy

Extrapolation of Efficacy among Similar Populations

- Adolescents infected through adult behavior
 - Adolescents <18 yo could benefit and should be included
- Children and adolescents infected by MTCT
 - Immunity and viral reservoir differ from adults
 - Factor impact of timing ARV initiation
- Efficacy trials should be done across the life span
 - Parallel study design for perinatal infection

Study design considerations for extrapolation of efficacy

- Age specific pK for dosing and frequency
- Define outcome measures beyond ATI
 - Tissue reservoirs (CNS), immune and inflammatory biomarkers
 - HIV antibody reversion
- Define functional cure outcomes that are not predicated on viral elimination

Extrapolation of Safety Outcomes

- Considerations of risk/benefit for perceived toxicity of interventions
- Factor age specific toxicities
 - Toxicity studies needed for infants < 2y</p>
 - Development of Resistance to therapy
 - Impact of intervention on immune development
 - Organ specific toxicity (CNS)
- Study design should include long term outcomes

Vedolizumab

- Compelling results showing viral load reduction in NHP
- Small phase I/II study in HIV-infected adults showing safety and efficacy potential
- Based on phase I/II results phase 3 trial to include participants across the life span
 - Parallel study for perinatally infected children
 - Age-specific toxicity monitoring in <2 y cohort
 - Long term outcome monitoring

Populations in which adult outcomes cannot be extrapolated Neonates/Infants

- Unique features
 - Immune development
 - Viral dynamics
 - Toxicity profiles
- Unique opportunities for outcomes that differ from similar interventions in adults
 - Novel (infant specific) interventions

Neonates and Infants

- Require more scrutiny of risk/benefit
 - Does the interventions improve over SOC?
- Need to establish proof of principle
 - Importance of NHP models
- Unique factors likely to impact outcomes
 - Timing and modes of transmission
 - Age specific pk
 - Treatments to interrupt MTCT

Filling the Gaps

- Role of Non-human Primates
 - Provides proof of principle for unique populations
 - Models human immune development
 - Enables examination of targets not accessible in humans
 - Explore age specific toxicity
 - Recognize viral and immune limitations of NHP
- Further Development of human ex vivo studies
 - Systems biology
 - Cellular immunology and virology
 - Novel measures of viral replications and reservoir size

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION

