



Forum for
Collaborative HIV Research

FDA-PERSPECTIVE ON HCV CLINICAL TRIALS

Jeff Murray MD, MPH
Division of Antiviral Products





RESISTANCE CONCERNS/OBJECTIVES

- Learn lessons from past drug development including HIV
- Avoid a paradigm of sequential “monotherapy”
- Reduce risks for clinical trial participants with respect to future therapeutic options
- Remain aware that HCV is NOT HIV and that we need to base decisions from data generated in HCV studies



SPECIFIC CLINICAL TRIAL/RESISTANCE ISSUES

- Duration of monotherapy in initial proof-of-concept studies
- Appropriate sequence of development in patient populations: naïve-relapsers-null responders
- Impact of selection of drug resistance on use of subsequent regimens: cross-resistance and persistence
- Mutational barrier needed for non-interferon based regimens



DEFINITIONS OF TREATMENT EXPERIENCED POPULATIONS

- Naïve: received no prior therapy for HCV
- Null Responder: $< 2 \log_{10}$ reduction in HCV RNA at Wk 12 on a PEG-IFN/RBV regimen
- Partial Responder: $\geq 2 \log_{10}$ reduction in HCV RNA at Week 12, but not achieving HCV RNA undetectable at end of treatment with a PEG-IFN/RBV regimen
- Responder Relapser: HCV RNA undetectable at end of treatment with a PEG-IFN/RBV regimen, but HCV RNA detectable within 24 weeks of treatment follow-up



CONCERNS:

- Adding one drug to PEG-INF/RBV in previous null responders
- “Functional monotherapy”? Is this a useful term for combinations with PEG-IFN/RBV.
 - RBV has no antiviral activity alone—but increases antiviral effect of INF
- How much data (SVR and resistance data) is needed before studying treatment-experienced patients
- How much data (SVR and resistance data) is needed before combination therapy