

HCV

*D*rug

*R*esistance

*A*dvisory

*G*roup

Origin and Purpose

Initial Idea

- Outgrowth of proposal at the 1st International Workshop on Hepatitis C: Resistance and New Compounds (2006)
- *Ad hoc* group approached the Forum for Collaborative HIV Research (FCHR) for organizational support

QuickTime™ and a
decompressor
are needed to see this picture.

Purpose

- Bring together parties interested in and working in HCV drug resistance to discuss issues pertinent to HCV drug development and resistance testing
- Composition: representatives from industry, academics and regulatory agencies

Goals

- Produce consensus recommendations of appropriate methodology for HCV resistance testing
 - For drug development
 - For clinical practice
- Provide scientific guidance to facilitate discussion between industry, regulatory agencies, and other parties integral to HCV drug development and resistance

Working Groups

- Sequence Analysis Working Group (SAWG)
 - Ann Kwong, head
- Phenotype Working Group (PWG)
 - Isabel Najera, head
- Clinical Working Group (CWG)
 - Ira Jacobson, head
- Database Working Group (DWG)
 - Jean-Michel Pawlotsky, head

HCV DRAG How the SAWG worked together

- Defined Major Issues in broad strokes
- Teleconference calls to discuss sub issues (questions) for each issue
- Draft Recommendation Team volunteered for each issue
- Recommendations compiled and edited by the entire team

HCV DRAG Steps in the creation of SAWG document

1. Bucket Issues into “Dale’s Bins”

- Issues where a recommendation can be made after discussion within the working group
 - What region to sequence
 - Viral load requirements
 - Reference sequence
 - Nomenclature
 - Clonal vs population sequencing
- Issues where there are multiple solutions
 - Nomenclature
 - Clonal vs population sequencing
- Issues where not enough information is known to make a recommendation
 - New technologies

HCV DRAG Steps in the creation of SAWG document

2. Form SAWG/PAWG subteam to work on different questions

Issue #5 **Clonal vs population sequencing**

Team: Jean-Michel Pawlotsky, Rob Ralston, Joe Fitzgibbon, Anita Howe, Tara Kieffer, Isabel Najera (leader)

Questions

- Are they in competition or complementary?
- When best used? (POC studies, not phase III)
- Sampling frequency: rebound, retreatment, after dosing,
- Clonal analysis: How many clones should be sequenced?
- Effect of viral load on sampling/ amplification and representation
- Determination of mutation linkage
- Determination of in vivo replicative fitness Sequence analysis: calling mixtures in population sequencing

HCV DRAG Steps in the creation of SAWG document

3. SAWG teleconference and full HCV DRAG discussion

Population vs clonal sequencing

- The use of clonal and population sequencing methods are complementary and can answer different questions.
- To assess the development of selection of resistance upon treatment (in any phase of clinical studies), it is recommended that the initial genetic characterization be performed using **population** sequencing.
- If population sequencing provides clear data that can **explain the “observed” rebound** (or if the observed rebound can be explained by other reasons, i.e poor PK), **no clonal analysis** would be required.

HCV DRAG Steps in the creation of SAWG document

4. Reduction of recommendations to writing

- 2007
 - Subteams wrote recommendations for draft manuscript
 - Sections edited by the entire team
- 2009
 - SAWG and PAWG manuscripts sent to Gastro
 - Editors asked that SAWG and PAWG manuscripts be combined
- 2010
 - Sequence and phenotypic analysis for resistance monitoring in hepatitis C virus drug development: Recommendations from the HCV DRAG, Gastro, in press

HCV DRAG Authorship reflects cross company, regulatory, and academic collaboration

AUTHORS NAMES AND AFFILIATIONS:

Sequence Analysis Working Group (SAWG) and Phenotype Analysis Working Group (PAWG). Ann D. Kwong (Chair, SAWG)^{1*}, Isabel Najera² (Chair, PAWG)^{2*}, Jill Bechtel³, Scott Bowden⁴, Joseph Fitzgibbon⁵, Patrick Harrington^{6†}, Dale Kempf⁷, Tara L. Kieffer¹, Diana Koletzki⁸, George Kukolj⁹, Sharlene Lim¹⁰, Tami Pilot-Matias⁷, Kai Lin¹¹, Nina Mani¹², Hongmei Mo¹³, Jules O’Rear^{6†}, Michael Otto¹⁴, Neil Parkin¹⁵, Jean-Michel Pawlotsky¹⁶, Chris Petropoulos¹⁷, Gaston Picchio¹⁸, Robert Ralston¹⁹, Jacqueline D. Reeves¹⁷, Robert T. Schooley²⁰, Scott Seiwert¹⁰, David Standring²¹, Lieven Stuyver⁸, James Sullivan¹, Veronica Miller¹², under the auspices of the Forum for Collaborative HIV Research and on behalf of the HCV Drug Development Advisory Group (HCV DRAG).

¹Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA USA

²Roche Palo Alto, LLC, Palo Alto, CA USA

³Glaxo Smith Kline, Research Triangle Park, NC USA

⁴Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, North Melbourne, Victoria Australia

⁵NIH / NIAID / DAIDS, Bethesda, MD USA

⁶FDA / CDER / OND / OAP/ Division of Antiviral Products, Silver Spring, MD USA

⁷Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL USA

⁸Virco BVBA, Beerse, Belgium