

## Toward validated platforms and AI-powered digital pathology tools for evaluation of NASH histology in clinical trials

Liver Forum 15 September 6, 2023

Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

Janani S. Iyer, Senior Product Manager Katy Wack, VP of Clinical Strategy



PathAl's Al-powered digital pathology solutions address unmet needs in NASH drug development

- Immediate need (AIM-NASH<sup>™</sup>):
  - Tool that assists pathologists in grading/staging of key histologic features of NASH, reducing impact of rater variability in NASH clinical trials

#### Long-term need (NASH Explore<sup>™</sup>)

 Assessment of treatment efficacy and change in disease severity over time via continuous, sub-ordinal measurement of NASH histologic features



## Validation of a digital pathology platform for metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis for clinical trials

Hanna Pulaski, Shraddha S. Mehta, Laryssa C. Manigat, Stephanie Kaufman, Hypatia Hou, ILKe Nalbantoglu, Xuchen Zhang, Emily Curl, Ross Taliano, Tae Hun Kim, Michael Torbenson, Jonathan N. Glickman, Murray B. Resnick, Neel Patel, Cristin E. Taylor, Pierre Bedossa, Michael C. Montalto, Andrew H. Beck, Katy E. Wack

Preprint published on medRxiv September 1, 2023

(Manuscript currently undergoing peer-review)





## Study overview

Objective: Validate a whole slide image (WSI) viewing platform that enables pathologists to perform clinical trial NASH CRN scoring in digitized slides containing liver biopsy tissue equivalently to how they perform on glass slides (i.e., "glass-todigital" validation\*)

\*This study is part of PathAI's regulatory submission to the FDA's DDT/BQP program, to qualify the present reading platform (PathAI AISight<sup>™</sup> Clinical Trial Services Platform) as an approved digital slide viewer for NASH clinical trials. The study design has been presented to both the FDA (CDER and CDRH) and the EMA.

Pulaski et al. 2023, medRxiv



## Glass-to-digital validation study population and design considerations

- The study was designed to capture the extent of variability that occurs in NASH clinical trials, including:
  - Inclusion of both NASH and non-NASH biopsies
  - Inclusion of multiple study time points
  - Inclusion of multiple drug targets
  - Inclusion of H&E and Masson's Trichrome staining from multiple labs
  - Inclusion of a wide range of NASH CRN NAFLD Activity Scores (NASs) and fibrosis stages, with enrichment for borderline cases
  - Utilization of CAP/CLIA-qualified and -maintained scanners
- The study was powered (N = ~160 biopsies) considering the specific context of pathology evaluations in NASH clinical trials, and to account for intra- and inter-pathologist variability in NASH CRN scoring
  - Digital scoring ("WSI reads") for the present study was performed by three experienced hepatopathologists per case. All
    pathologists passed NASH CRN scoring proficiency testing. Scoring was performed on PathAI's AlSight<sup>™</sup> Clinical Trial Services
    (CTS) Platform.
  - Ground truth (GT) glass slide scoring was performed by an independent group of experienced hepatopathologists who also passed NASH CRN scoring proficiency testing. These pathologists performed NASH CRN scoring on glass slides.

Pulaski et al. 2023, medRxiv



## Glass-to-digital validation study design

Primary endpoint: Agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring of NASH CRN clinical trial inclusion criteria is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring

Secondary endpoint: Per histologic component and for overall NAS, agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring. Agreement rates are within published ranges for intra-pathologist agreement.





## Glass-to-digital validation study met its primary endpoint

Primary endpoint: Agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring of NASH CRN clinical trial inclusion criteria is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring

Test	N	*Agreement Rate (95% CI)	Difference (95% CI)	P-value
Digital vs. GT Glass	159	0.743 (0.7, 0.788)	0.001 ( 0.027, 0.026)	<0.0001
Individual Pathologist Glass vs. GT Glass	159	0.745 (0.703, 0.786)	-0.001 (-0.027, 0.020)	

\*Agreement rates were measured for identification of steatohepatitis, defined as NAS  $\geq$  4 with  $\geq$  1 in each of Steatosis, Lobular Inflammation, and Hepatocellular Ballooning, and absence of features indicative of other liver diseases

Pulaski et al. 2023, medRxiv



## Glass-to-digital validation study met its secondary endpoint

Secondary endpoint: Per histologic component and for overall NAS, agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring. Agreement rates are within published ranges for intra-pathologist agreement.



Histologic component	Publication	Intra-reader variability*	Digital vs. GT Glass (95% CI)*	
Steatosis	Kleiner et al. 2005	0.83	.83         0.882           2-0.75         (0.844, 0.916)           666         (0.844, 0.916)	
	Gawrieh et al. 2011	0.72-0.75		
	Davison et al. 2020	0.666		
Lobular Inflammation	Kleiner et al. 2005	0.60	<b>0.761</b> (0.707, 0.809)	
	Gawrieh et al. 2011	0.37-0.48		
	Davison et al. 2020	0.227		
Hepatocellular Ballooning	Kleiner et al. 2005	0.66	<b>0.788</b> (0.732, 0.835)	
	Gawrieh et al. 2011	0.32-0.56		
	Davison et al. 2020	0.487		
Fibrosis	Kleiner et al. 2005	0.85	<b>0.872</b> (0.837, 0.901)	
	Gawrieh et al. 2011	0.64-0.75		
	Davison et al. 2020	0.679		
Overall NAS	Davison et al. 2020	0.372	<b>0.795</b> (0.76, 0.825)	

\*All reported values are linearly-weighted kappa statistics



Pulaski et al. 2023, medRxiv

## Conclusions

- Experienced liver pathologists are equivalently capable of scoring the following NASH histologic parameters via glass slides vs. PathAI's AISight<sup>™</sup> Clinical Trial Services digital platform (in addition to identifying additional findings):
  - Macrovesicular steatosis
  - Lobular inflammation
  - Hepatocellular ballooning
  - Fibrosis
- Agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring of NASH CRN clinical trial inclusion criteria is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring
- Per histologic component and for overall NAS, agreement between digital scoring and ground truth (GT) glass scoring is **non-inferior** to agreement between individual pathologist glass scoring and GT glass scoring. Agreement rates are within published ranges for intra-pathologist agreement.

We're ready to move beyond glass vs. digital reading concerns to discuss how AI can **1**) help pathologists achieve reproducible scoring in NASH clinical trials, and **2**) help drug developers characterize the histologic response to their therapeutics!



PathAl's Al-powered digital pathology solutions address unmet needs in NASH drug development

- Immediate need (AIM-NASH<sup>™</sup>):
  - Tool that assists pathologists in grading/staging of key histologic features of NASH, reducing impact of rater variability in NASH clinical trials

#### Long-term need (NASH Explore<sup>™</sup>)

Assessment of treatment efficacy and change in disease severity over time via continuous, sub-ordinal measurement of NASH histologic features



## AIM-NASH Drug Development Tool (DDT) for clinical trial biopsy scoring



**Context of Use:** A monitoring biomarker as an adjunct that aids the pathologist in assessing NASH disease activity (at baseline and subsequent time points) to produce the Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver disease activity score (NAS) components and fibrosis stage in liver biopsies in NASH clinical trials.





PathAI is proud to introduce



for AI-powered fibrosis detection + subtyping, zonal quantification of histologic features, and cellular-level characterization of NASH tissue microarchitecture in H&E whole slide images.

## Achieving model performance domain generalization using ContriMix

- PathAI has developed and launched **ContriMix**, a domain generalization technique that learns to disentangle biological content (e.g. tissue and cell features) from technical variations (e.g. scanner/stain-specific noise) in microscopy images. This is an operation that pathologists perform naturally, but computers have to learn to do effectively.
- Training our portfolio of NASH AI products using ContriMix allows for excellent model performance generalization across the extent of stain variability encountered in NASH clinical trials and in real world data.

**Nguyen et al. 2023**, *medRxiv*, "ContriMix: Unsupervised disentanglement of content and attribute for domain generalization in microscopy image analysis"

This approach allows us to perform accurate, reproducible, quantitative Alpowered digital pathology in whole slide images of **stained** liver biopsy tissue sections with **limited concerns surrounding performance generalizability**.





**ARTIFACT DETECTION:** AI-powered, automated detection and exclusion of tissue and image artifact in H&E whole slide images

Whole Slide Image Background

Tissue/Image Artifact



**LANDMARK SEGMENTATION:** Al-powered, automated detection of portal tracts and central veins, and segmentation of liver lobular zones for zonal quantification of histologic features





**TISSUE DETECTION:** AI-powered, automated tissue region detection and quantification in H&E whole slide images

Macrovesicular steatosis
 Lobular inflammation
 Hepatocellular ballooning
 Portal inflammation
 Interface hepatitis
 Blood vessels
 Bile ducts



FIBROSIS SUBTYPING: AI-powered, automated subtyping of fibrosis into clinically-relevant patterns in H&E whole slide images





**CELL DETECTION:** Al-powered, automated cell detection and quantification in H&E whole slide images



 $\bigcirc$  NASH **Explore**<sup>TM</sup> complements **AIM** O NASH<sup>TM</sup> by delivering a structured panel of >1000 quantitative Human Interpretable Features (HIFs) per H&E whole slide image for scalable, standardized, reproducible analysis

Zone 1

Zone 3



Quantitative Human Interpretable Features (HIFs) measured across each cell type, tissue type, fibrosis subtype, and zonal location

#### Total Area of Perisinusoidal Fibrosis

- **Periportal Fibrosis**
- **Complete Septal Fibrosis**
- Nodular Fibrosis

Total Area of **Perisinusoidal Fibrosis** area in **Total Tissue Area** 

- **Periportal Fibrosis** 
  - **Nodular Fibrosis**
- Count of Steatotic Hepatocytes in proximity of Septal Fibrosis in Zone 2
- **Ballooned Hepatocytes** .
  - **Normal Hepatocytes**
- Lymphocytes
- **Macrophages**
- Density of Lymphocytes in Portal Tract

Neutrophils Eosinophils

- Macrophages

Perisinusoidal

**Nodular Fibrosis** 

Fibrosis

- **Interface Hepatitis** Zone 2

Pathologic Fibrosis

DENSITY (ALL IMMUNE AREA PROP AREA PROP ([MACROVESICULAR H&E TOTAL [BALLOONED HEPATOCYTES] [PERISINUSOIDAL FIBROSIS] CELLS] IN [PORTAL STEATOSIS] IN [USABLE TISSUE]) IN [USABLE TISSUE]\_H&E Slide ID IN [ALL FIBROSIS] H&E TRACT]\_H&E NEAR [NODULAR FIBROSIS] H&E 257785 0.35536164 184.3284696 12.00000000 0.74893501 257682 0.69919819 105.7626842 7.00000000 0.47892043 257722 0.75522328 106.5570013 5.00000000 0.23048759 257812 0.04953395 135.196.477 8.00000000 0.08749367 257664 0.70388323 362.1587609 2.00000000 0.20948574 257779 0.54496612 215.9119680 9.00000000 0.39475873 257842 0.20255.414 187.9219654 6.00000000 0.21769405 257710 0.66805131 107.1449498 8.00000000 0.01928347 257772 0.04857480 104.5795653 1.00000000 0.00283765 257661 0.65090889 190.4890243 0.00000000 0.95890648 257675 0.21158807 118.4339708 3.00000000 0.84567302 257768 0.35020110 139.4641664 3.00000000 0.73892473 257655 0.19666099 200.8956839 5.00000000 0.18973246 257715 0.26749427 314.3395106 3.00000000 0.98273463 2578.47 0.51483537 136.3118490 2.00000000 0.12984364 257787 4.0000000 124.1346719 257717 6.00000000

## >1000 Quantitative HIFs enable...

- Identification of histologic biomarkers of trial endpoint response and predictors of disease progression and regression
- Characterization of mechanism-of-action-specific histologic response to therapy
- Seamless correlation with non-invasive biomarker data

© 2022 PathAl. Inc.

# PathAI has published extensively on the utility of its NASH quantitative histologic features for detecting therapeutic response and predicting patient outcomes

- The ratio of portal to lobular inflammation stratifies cirrhotic NASH patients into rapid vs. slow progressors
  - Pokkalla et al. 2019
- The proportionate area of patterns of advanced fibrosis at baseline in F3 patients is highly predictive of which will progress to cirrhosis
  - Pokkalla et al. 2020
- Distinguishing between and quantifying patterns of advanced vs. early-stage fibrosis facilitates detection of drug effect that is not detected via categorical scoring
  - Loomba et al. 2020
- Continuous scoring is more predictive of patient progression to poor outcomes than ordinal scoring
  - Iyer et al. 2022
- Integration of quantitative histologic features and transcriptomic data identifies key genes associated with fibrogenesis in NASH
  - Pouryahya et al. 2023





## Thank you







#### ©2022 PathAI, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Reproduction in whole or in part in any form or medium without express written permission is prohibited. PathAI, and the PathAI, icon are registered trademarks. Other trademarks contained herein are the property of their respective owners. PathAI, believes that the information in this publication is accurate as of its publication date; such information is subject to change without notice.