



Anticipating Market Authorization for MASH Drugs: *Impact on MASH Drug Development Programs* March 22, 2024

Veronica Miller, PhD

Forum for Collaborative Research UC Berkeley School of Public Health



Congratulations



FDA NEWS RELEASE

FDA Approves First Treatment for Patients with Liver Scarring Due to Fatty Liver Disease

f Share 🛛 🗶 Post 🛛 in Linkedin 🖉 Email 🔒 Print

For Immediate Release: March 14, 2024

Español

Today, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved Rezdiffra (resmetirom) for the treatment of adults with noncirrhotic non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with moderate to advanced liver scarring (fibrosis), to be used along with diet and exercise.

HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use REZDIFFRA safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for REZDIFFRA.

REZDIFFRA (resmetirom) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2024

------INDICATIONS AND USAGE REZDIFFRA is a thyroid hormone receptor-beta (THR-beta) agonist indicated in conjunction with diet and exercise for the treatment of adults with noncirrhotic nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with moderate to advanced liver fibrosis (consistent with stages F2 to F3 fibrosis).

This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on improvement of NASH and fibrosis. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials. (1)

Limitations of Use

Avoid use of REZDIFFRA in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. (1)

Remaining unmet medical need

Benefit-Risk-Uncertainty

- General context:
 - Seriousness of disease
 - Therapeutics landscape
- MASH context:
 - Multi-factorial disease
 - Combination MOA's likely needed
 - Precision medicine approaches?

For Collaborative Research™

Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

Therefore



- Additional drugs needed to meet needs of all patients
 - diversity of affected patient populations
- MASH drug development programs must continue
 - "drug development" includes non-invasive biomarkers for all COU's

MASH Development Programs

Existing challenges exacerbated by availability of marketed drugs

Patient perspective

- Understanding benefit-risk wrt options
- Biopsy requirement
- Length of follow-up
- Sponsor perspective
 - Recruitment/retention in clinical trials
 - Resources for larger, >larger trials
- Clinician perspective
 - Diminishing equipoise

For Collaborative Research[®]

Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

THE FORUM For Collaborative Research[™] Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

Addressing the Challenge of Common Chronic Diseases — A View from the FDA

250-212 per 100,000 Population 200-183 150-100-69 Deaths | 56 50 50-31 17 18 Chronic Lyne 250 Chronic Hidney Disease stentional nuries Atheiners Disease Chronic Disease covid-19 Diabetes Stroke Cancer

Haider J. Warraich, M.D., Hilary D. Marston, M.D., M.P.H., and Robert M. Califf, M.D.

Crude Mortality for the 10 Leading Causes of Death in the United States, 2022. Preliminary data are from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's WONDER database. • Wide disparities in prevalence and outcomes

- FDA: regulatory, scientific, and public health agency
 - Support development of effective and accessible interventions
 - Improve the way evidence is generated
 - Collaboration among stakeholders

Strategies:

- Transform evidence-generating methods
- Make better use of technology
- Develop coherent approaches to issues across chronic diseases
- Foster patient-centered innovation

"There is an urgent need for a research environment that facilitates prospective development and evaluation of reliable biomarkers and surrogate end points for outcomes of interest to overcome the challenge that many candidate therapeutics with promising results in phase 2 trials aren't found to effective in phase 3 trials"

"Requires a broad coalition"

N ENGL J MED 390;6 NEJM.ORG FEBRUARY 8, 2024

Follow-up



- Liver Forum is a broad coalition
- Sign up for the challenges at hand

7 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

Evidence requirements across research generations

Traditional design schema

- First generation drugs (no SOC)
 - Placebo control RCT appropriate
 - Effect size large(r): efficacy easy (easier) to demonstrate

- Second generation drugs (SOC defined)
 - Superiority design
 - Comparative effect size small(er): superiority more difficult to demonstrate
 - Non-inferiority design
 - Issues with constancy assumptions, margins, etc.

For Collaborative Research™

Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

No official SOC but drugs available via Rx

Focus our attention

Immediate

- Subpart H obligations
 - Surrogate endpoint validation with clinical endpoints requires long-term outcome studies
 - Histology
 - Non-invasive "holy grail"



Near future

- Surrogate (histology) will be validated for traditional (full) approval?
- New SOC?
- Trial design?

Need to plan now



23

Berl

*Primary endpoint

**For specific disease setting and class of interventions

· • •

- **Recognized as validated by definitive studies
- ******Primary endpoint



Figure 3. Multiple components, biological pathways, and outcomes all contribute to the complexity of using biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in the context of chronic disease. Adapted from: Institute of Medicine. *Evaluation of biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in chronic disease*. Summary. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press, 2010.

Experimental Biology and Medicine 2018; 243: 213-221. DOI: 10.1177/1535370217750088

Opportunities for Improved Integration of Biomarker Development Activities within Drug Development





What are the options?









"Requires a broad coalition"

14 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

15 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

Strategies

Continue as is

 Each sponsor on their own, "work really hard" to recruit/retain patients in long-term placebo-controlled studies

Innovate

- Trial design
- Analytics
- Collaborate
 - Master protocols
 - Shared placebo arms
 - Cross-company meta-analyses









Potential Strategies - 1

To alleviate challenges in patient recruitment-retention

- External comparator
 - Claims data
 - Electronic health records
- Shared placebo arm
 - Retrospective
 - Concurrent
- Master protocols



Table 1. Types of Master Protocols. Type of Trial Objective Umbrella To study multiple targeted therapies in the context of a single disease Basket To study a single targeted therapy in the context of multiple diseases or disease subtypes To study multiple targeted therapies in the context of a single Platform disease in a perpetual manner, with therapies allowed to enter or leave the platform on the basis of a decision algorithm Areas of Innovation Infrastructure Common screening platform for biomarker identification Governance Steering committee Adjudication committee Data monitoring committee Central institutional review board Trial networks and clinical centers

- Processes
- Randomization
- Data and safety capture and management
- Quality-control oversight

Trial Design

Adaptive randomization and other adaptive design features Longitudinal modeling to determine probabilities of success or failure Shared control patients Natural-history cohort Biomarker qualification

Figure 3. Areas of Innovation in Master Protocols.

16 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROV



17 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO MPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

Next Steps



 Workshop w FDA and statisticians/innovators like Lisa LaVange to discuss best approaches for how to use a shared placebo for MASH trials





Facilitating Next-Generation Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Clinical Trials Using HIV Recent Infection Assays: A Consensus Statement from the Forum HIV Prevention Trial Design Project

Neil Parkin¹, Fei Gao², Eduard Grebe^{3,4}, Amy Cutrell⁵, Moupali Das⁶, Deborah Donnell², Ann Duerr², David V. Glidden⁴, James P. Hughes⁷, Jeffrey Murray⁸, Michael N. Robertson⁹, Joerg Zinserling¹⁰, Joseph Lau¹¹, and Veronica Miller^{11,*} ⁽¹⁾ for the Forum for Collaborative Research Recency Assay Working Group

Research timeline $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$



Potential Strategies - 2

To increase chances of sufficient clinical endpoints



- Pool endpoints from trials in F2/F3 and F4 pts
 - Individual sponsor
 - LF Working Group
- Standardize clinical endpoints assessment
 - New working group?
- Meta-analysis across trials collaboration amongst sponsors
 - New working group?





Consider how to do this

Potential Strategies - 3

To increase chances for non-invasive surrogate endpoint



- Standardize NIT's across programs
- Meta-analysis across programs
- Consider all three approaches
 - Drug development
 - Expert consensus
 - Biomarker qualification

Potential Strategies - 4

To increase value of each data point – improve precision

- Responsible re-use of data
 - Placebo data base project
- Application of novel analytics
 - ML/AI, TML/causal inference
 - **Consider Bayesian approaches?**

THE FORUM For Collaborative Research SM Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-023-00638-0

nature reviews drug discovery

Check for updates

Application of Bayesian approaches in drug development: starting a virtuous cycle

Stephen J. Ruberg @ 1 🖂, Francois Beckers², Rob Hemmings³, Peter Honig⁴, Telba Irony⁵, Lisa LaVange @ 6, Grazyna Lleberman², James Mayne® & Richard Moscicki®



Follow-up



- Placebo database working group
- Statistics & analysis working group

Potential Strategies - 5

To reduce patient burden and overall resources

- Adaptive vs. stepwise program design
- Master protocols and "borrowed controls"
- Decentralized trials
 - "...coherent approaches to issues across chronic diseases"
 - From Warraich, Marston, Califf NEJM 2024





Main cardiometabolic effects of hepatic steatosis

Fig. 1 [**Hepatic steatosis.** Possible pathogenetic mechanisms, main cardiometabolic consequences and selected pharmacological treatments. FGF21, fibroblast growth factor 21; GLP1R, glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ; SGLT2, sodium–glucose cotransporter 2; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; VLDLs, very-low-density lipoproteins.





Research feasibility of cross-disease endpoints/biobanks?



Non-Invasive Biomarkers Surrogate Endpoints

Questions from (and to) the field



- Are we obligated to validate the histology endpoint before anything else?
 - Does it have to be demonstrated for each individual program? Each MOA?
- Can we do a meta-analysis across trials (all sponsors) to increase likelihood of clinical events?
 - Done in other disease areas

Can we simultaneously (or in tandem) propose non-invasive biomarkers as reasonably likely to predict clinical outcome, to allow accelerated approval and then be validated against clinical endpoints?





Keep discussion open?

Using data from completed studies

For Collaborative Research™

回

Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

In HIV, all sponsored studies were included in a metaanalysis to link viral load to clinical outcome

Study	Total (n*)	Regimens	Median baseline CD4 T cells (× 10 ⁶ /l)	Median RNA baseline (copies/ml)	Prior drug experience
Analyses showing an association between HIV RNA reductions and decreased clinical progression					
Abbott Study: M94-247 [6]	80	RTV + up to two NRTI	21	263 000	> 9 months
ACTG Studies: 116A, 116B, 117, 175,197, 229, 241, 259 [7,8,11–14]	1000	Many	218	50 000	Mixed
Glaxo-Wellcome Studies: NUCA 3001, 3002; NUCB 3001, 3002, 3007 (CAESAR), 3020 [4,11,15–18]	1581	ZDV + 3TC, others	209	63 000	50% > 6 months ZDV
Pharmacia & Upjohn Studies: 1842 M3331-0017, M3331-0021 [11]		ddl, ZDV, DLV + ddl, DLV + ZDV	230	75 000	50% > 6 months ZDV
Roche Study: NV14256 [11]	940	ddC, SQV, ddC + SOV	170	137 000	> 4 months ZDV
Analyses exploring characteristics of HIV RNA reductions with combination therapy		× ×			
Agouron Study: 511 [11]	196	NFV + ZDV + 3TC ZDV + 3TC	288	153 044	Naïve
Boehringer Ingelheim Study: 1046 [19]	100	ZDV + ddI, ZDV + ddI + NVP	376	25 704	Naïve
Merck Studies: 018, 019, 020, 021,					
028, 033, 035 [11,20,21]	204 ⁺	IDV, IDV + NRTI	215	18 085	Protease and 3TC naïve

*The number of patients listed represents those for which there were both virologic and clinical data and not necessarily the size of the original trial. [†]Those who achieved a plasma HIV RNA level below the limit of quantification (500 copies/ml). NRTI, Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors: RTV, ritonavir; DLV, delavirdine; SQV, saquinavir; ZDV, zidovudine; ddI, didanosine; 3TC, lamivudine; ddC, zalcitabine; NFV, nelfinavir; NVP, nevirapine; IDV, indinavir.

AIDS 1999, Vol 13 No 7

30 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

Table 1. Characteristics of studies used for analyzing changes in HIV RNA in response to treatment.



Fig. 1. Incidence of clinical progression to new AIDS event or death (per 100 patient-years) for multiple clinical events per patient. Patients were stratified by median baseline plasma HIV RNA: > 63 000 copies/ml (solid line) and baseline plasma HIV RNA \leq 63 000 copies/ml (broken line). 95% Confidence intervals are shown as vertical bars.



Table 2. Risk of AIDS disease progression according to duration of virologic response for participants in two delavirdine studies.

Response duration (days)	Hazard ratio	95% CI for hazard ratio
No response	1.000	_
1–29	0.668	(0.428-1.041)
30-57	0.721	(0.409-1.271)
58–113	0.550	(0.320-0.945)
114–141	0.260	(0.128-0.528)
≥ 142	0.286	(0.145–0.564)

CI, Confidence interval.

AIDS 1999, Vol 13 No 7

A word on ethics...



Berkeley's Hub for Regulatory Science

- Scientific value
- Equipoise
- Use of human and financial resources
- Respect for patients

Re-consent patients?

Follow-up



In everything we do

33 | CATALYZING CLINICAL RESEARCH TO IMPROVE GLOBAL HEALTH

Session 2 – Lessons learned



- Clinical outcomes rates, composite endpoints, standardization
- Importance to start planning now!
- Cirrhosis is an endpoint?
- Incorporate continuous learning
- Importance of sharing information as it becomes available

Session 3

Building on collaboration

- Synergize and synchronize
- Learn, learn, learn (together)
- So much data!
- Role of radiology opportunities!
- Remember the three paths
 - Drug dev
 - Community consensus
 - BQP



Session 5



- Re-set thinking on combination and end-points working group based on LF16
- Lots of energy on Met-ALD
 - Support with data, expertise, collaborations.....

NEXT STEPS



- Open workshop on shared placebo arm
- Clinical outcome definitions
- Ongoing
 - Combination & pooling endpoints
 - Met-ALD
 - RLD
 - Placebo DB

Additional questions



- How to bridge between biopsy driven trials to clinical practice?
- What does patient involvement look like for drug development?
- How do we move from specialized care to general practice?

Take-home message



- Let's build the broad coalition!
- But practically speaking how do we bring the threads together?





Thank You

