Estimating demand for male circumcision services

Jessica Fast Kampala March 2008



Why estimate demand?

- Global estimates inform planning for organizations that provide scale-up assistance across countries
- National estimates inform policy on whether and how to scale up MC services
- Local estimates facilitate resource planning for programs targeting specific catchment areas



Rough demand estimates

Refined demand estimates

• Define scope: African countries, males under age 49

Process to derive rough estimates

- Define scope: African countries, males under age 49
- Estimate number of uncircumcised males in each country
 - Population data from U.S. Census Bureau
 - MC rate data from DHS, Williams et al, educated guesses

- Define scope: African countries, males under age 49
- Estimate number of uncircumcised males in each country
 - Population data from U.S. Census Bureau

Many are unreliable

MC rate data from DHS, Williams et al, educated guesses

- Define scope: African countries, males under age 49
- Estimate number of uncircumcised males in each country
 - Population data from U.S. Census Bureau

Many are unreliable

- MC rate data from DHS, Williams et al, educated guesses
- Sum estimates of uncircumcised males

estimated # uncircumcised males



% of uncircumcised males willing to get circumcised*

rough estimate of MC demand

=

* Willingness based on published acceptability studies

Estimated number of uncircumcised males (Africa, under age 49)*

	12.0	Sierra Leone	
		Chono	
	10.7	Ghana	
5.5		Lesotho	0.5
5.4		Swaziland	0.5
5.2		Côte d'Ivoire	0.3
5.1		Liberia	0.3
4.5		Angola	0.3
4.1		Guinea	0.2
3.8		Benin	0.2
3.5		Madagascar	0.2
3.1		Congo, Rep	0.2
3.0		Cape Verde	0.2
2.8		Cameroon	0.2
2.7	IUTAL.	Togo	0.1
0.9	~ 80 million	Niger	0.1
0.8	••	Senegal	0.1
0.7		Mali	0.1
0.7		Eritrea	0.1
0.7		Somalia	0.1
	5.5 5.4 5.2 5.1 4.5 4.1 3.8 3.5 3.5 3.1 3.0 2.8 2.7 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.7	12.0 10.7	12.0Sierra Leone10.7Ghana5.5Lesotho5.4Swaziland5.2Côte d'Ivoire5.1Liberia4.5Angola4.1Guinea3.8Benin3.5Madagascar3.0Congo, Rep2.7TOTAL:0.9~ 80 million0.7Senegal0.7Somalia

* Less than 0.1: Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Gabon, Mauritania, Equatorial Guinea, Djibouti, Mauritius, Libya, Comoros, Algeria, Egypt, Morocco, Tunisia, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles

* Assumes uniform MC rate across age groups within each country Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, DHS, Williams et al, team analysis

Percentage of uncircumcised males willing to get circumcised



Sources: Bailey et al, Halperin et al, Tsela et al, Rain-Taljaard et al, Scott et al, Lagarde et al, Mattson et al, Kebaabetswe et al

~ 80 million uncircumcised males Low willingness (30%) = 24 million

Medium willingness (50%) = 40 million

High willingness (70%) = 56 million

Rough demand estimates



Refined demand estimates

- 1. Targeting of age groups
- 2. Targeting based on HIV status
- 3. Patient cost
- 4. Distribution of service delivery sites

1. Targeting of age groups

MC demand estimate, by age* (50% willingness)



* Assumes uniform MC rate across age groups within each country Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, DHS, Williams et al, team analysis

1. Targeting of age groups

MC demand estimate, by age* (50% willingness)



* Assumes uniform MC rate across age groups within each country Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, DHS, Williams et al, team analysis

2. Targeting based on HIV status

MC demand estimates*



Low uptake (30%) = 24 million \rightarrow 22.7

Medium uptake (50%) = 40 million \rightarrow 37.9

High uptake (70%) = 56 million \rightarrow 53.1

16

* Assumes uniform MC rate across age groups within each country; uniform HIV prevalence among males 0-14; uniform HIV prevalence among males 15-49; no difference in HIV prevalence based on circumcision status Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, DHS, Williams et al, 2006 UNAIDS Report on Global AIDS Epidemic, team analysis

2. Targeting based on HIV status

MC demand estimates*



Low uptake (30%) = 24 million \rightarrow 22.7

Medium uptake (50%) = 40 million \rightarrow 37.9

High uptake (70%) = 56 million \rightarrow 53.1

17

Data needs: HIV prevalence, by age and MC status Impact of required testing on uptake

* Assumes uniform MC rate across age groups within each country; uniform HIV prevalence among males 0-14; uniform HIV prevalence among males 15-49; no difference in HIV prevalence based on circumcision status Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, DHS, Williams et al, 2006 UNAIDS Report on Global AIDS Epidemic, team analysis

3. Patient cost

Patient share of cost will significantly impact demand



- Cost cited as 1 of 3 most consistent barriers to acceptability
- In Mattson et al, 34% of those preferring to remain uncircumcised changed mind when cost set at only \$3

3. Patient cost

Patient share of cost will significantly impact demand



- Cost cited as 1 of 3 most consistent barriers to acceptability
- In Mattson et al, 34% of those preferring to remain uncircumcised changed mind when cost set at only \$3

Data need: Price elasticity of demand for MC

4. Distribution of service delivery sites

- Health service utilization decreases as distance that patients have to travel increases
- Unknown how far males will be willing to travel to access MC services

4. Distribution of service delivery sites

- Health service utilization decreases as distance that patients
 have to travel increases
- Unknown how far males will be willing to travel to access MC services

Data need: Impact of travel distances on uptake



- Rough estimates suggest that MC demand could range from 24 to 56 million
- Refined estimates require national-level assessment of MC policy
 - Some data exist to estimate the impact of targeting (based on age or HIV status) on MC demand
 - Little data exists on how price or distance to services will impact MC demand

Gates Foundation Renee Ridzon Amy Adelberger KJ Singh

Clinton Foundation Anil Soni Alison Chick Dai Ellis Deb Cotton Elizabeth McCarthy Andrew Ellner Aaron Tjoa JoAnna Leyenaar Lotus McDougal Judy Chen

Harvard Medical School Bill Rodriguez

MedPharma Partners LLC David Williams