



HCV Trials in the Era Following Approval of Telaprevir and Boceprevir - The Way Forward

UC Washington Center, Washington DC October 18, 2011 7:30am - 3:30pm

Agenda

October 17, 2011

6:00pm Welcome Dinner Beacon Overlook Room
The Beacon Hotel

October 18, 2011

9:20 AM

7:00am	Registration and Badge Pickup	UCDC
7:30am	Breakfast	UCDC
	SCIENTIFIC PROGRAM Moderator: Nina Mani	UCDC
8:30 AM	Welcome and Introductions - Forum for Collaborative HIV Research	Veronica Miller
8:40 AM	Welcome - FDA	Jeffrey Murray
8:50 AM	Goals of the meeting	Nina Mani
9:00 AM	HCV clinical trials perspective	Ira Jacobson
9:10 AM	Regulatory perspective	Filip Josephson

9:30 AM Session 1:Control arms for P/R + DAA trials Moderators: Victoria Cargill and Nina Mani

Panel Discussion:

Advocacy perspective

- a) Is it appropriate to use P/R control arms any longer? What would be the rationale?
- b) What is best control arm for P/R + new single DAA regimen for tx-naïve subjects? For tx-experienced subjects?
- c) Is there value in having data from a P/R-only control arm?
- d) If a P/R control arm is used, is a rollover feature essential and what should it look like?
- e) Are there circumstances when a control arm (either P/R or current SOC) is not necessary or appropriate for evaluation of a P/R + new single DAA regimen? Under what circumstances can historical controls be used (e.g., pediatrics, HIV)?
- f) What is best control arm for P/R + multiple DAA regimen?
- g) What patient populations should be studied for P/R + multiple DAA regimen?
- h) What are the most appropriate trial designs and control arms for trials for patients who have failed a P/R + NS3/4A protease inhibitor regimen? Should trials evaluating this patient group now be included or even required in HCV

Panelists:
Filip Josephson,
Tracy Swan,
Maria Lucia Pecoraro,
Mark Sulkowski,
Tara Kieffer,
Janice Wahl,
Jens Kort,
Sarah Connelly

Jules Levin

drug development programs?

11:00 AM

BREAK

11:15 AM

Session 2: Control arms for interferon-sparing DAA (+/- RBV) trials Moderators: Ira Jacobson and Nina Mani

Panel Discussion:

- a) What preclinical and clinical data should be considered for trials using interferon-free regimens for double and triple DAA combinations, either with or without ribavirin?
- I. How can the contribution of each DAA in a regimen be established and what is the level of data required?
- II. When using multiple DAAs how can liver-related safety signals be assessed in a background of hepatitis?
- III. Are there regimens that should no longer be proposed?
- b) Under what circumstances should genotypes 1a and 1b be studied in different cohorts or with different regimens?
- c) What should be the main stratification factors for DAA combination studies? Should patients be stratified for IL28B or should its impact be studied retrospectively?
- d) What information is required to include cirrhotics in DAA combination studies?
- e) In a phase 3 trial, what would be the best control arm for a new combination DAA regimen for P/R tx-naïve subjects? For tx-experienced subjects?
- f) Should a P/R + DAA (single or multiple, or current SOC) control arm be required for evaluation of an interferon-free combination DAA regimen? If so, for what populations?
- g) Under what circumstances can historical controls be used? What size margin of treatment effect compared to a historical control is appropriate?
- h) Is a blinded trial design necessary? If so, under what circumstances?
- i) What should we do about interferon-incapable patients? Should they be studied separately? How should they be defined?

12:45 PM

LUNCH

1:45 PM

Session 3: Logistical Issues with Choice of Control Arms in International Trials Moderators: Kenneth Sherman and Veronica Miller

Panel Discussion:

- a) How does the availability of interferon, ribavirin, telaprevir and boceprevir: (Regional regulatory and access issues) effect the ability to conduct trials.
- b) Can P/R trials enroll patients in areas where telaprevir and boceprevir are available? How can this be presented to patients?
- c) Are there trials that are not feasible/ethical in certain regions?
- d) Ethical considerations in using peginterferon and ribavirin arm in some trials while others use the new SOC.
- e) Complexities of different treatment regimens, particularly response-guided therapy...when is it OK to alter the approved regimen (e.g., TVR BID, LOD/LOQ for RGT, P/R lead-in)? What are the risks of doing this?
- f) Impact of longer duration of control arm in delaying completion of trial.
- g) Sharing of clinical trial data and other pertinent information by pharmaceutical companies?
- h) Are there other important clinical trial design issues that need to be addressed in the immediate future to avoid delays in development programs?

Summary and Recommendations

3:15 PM Donald Jensen, Veronica Miller and Jeffrey Murray

3:30 PM ADJOURN

Panelists:
Filip Josephson,
Michelle Berrey,
Lynda Dee,
Andrew Talal,
Diana Brainard,
Juan Carlos Lopez-Talavera,
Gaston Picchio,
Patrick Harrington

Panelists:
Filip Josephson,
Daniel Raymond,
Vinay Thatte,
Curtis Cooper,
Michael Cooreman,
Chuck Miller,
Steve Rossi,
Doug Mayers,
Poonam Mishra