Method comparison for evaluating new (improved) CD4 and viral load assays for laboratory service

Lesley Scott, PhD

Department of Molecular Medicine and Haematology, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

South Africa

Why perform evaluations?

- Justify or confirm before routine implementation (result reporting)
- Sensitivity and specificity in local population (VL subtype sequence).
- Performance (reported result: copies/ml, IU/ml, pg/ml, ranges, %CD4 of lymphocytes, single/dual platform).
- Laboratory infrastructure requirements (high/low throughput, footprint, skill/training).
- GCLP (good clinical laboratory practise)
- Applies to equipment, assay, reagent and even sample collection/handling.

A typical approach to evaluation

Phase I (background and set up)

 Select appropriate comparative technology (Gold standard, more than one assay, more than one site, use automation)



Phase II (Design and analysis)

- Sample size: A balance of cost, risk of taking too few samples to measure lack of agreement.
- Include reference material and controls
- <u>Statistical analysis</u> (continuous data can convert into discrete/bin approach): intra/inter variability=background variability)

A protocol for method comparison

- Describe and summarize the data
- Visualize the data
- Choose the correct model for method comparison Formula Histogram with a normal Average/STD curve fitted to the data









129

Analyze the data in <u>sequence</u> of sample preparation

Phase III (Reporting)

- Validation report
- Good documentation
- □ Store everything!!!
- □ Take action if deviations

Phase IV (Follow-up)

- □ Handle change control
- Participate in EQA/proficiency testing

Clinically acceptable differences

• <u>CD4:</u>

~20cells/ul @ 200cells/ul (NB: data range)

Viral load:

- □ 0.3 log copies/ml for intra-variability
- □ 0.5 log copies/ml for inter-variability
- 1.0 log copies/ml = clinical difference/patient mismanagement

Experiences

CD4:

- <u>TetraCHROME</u> (Beckman Coulter)
- PanLeucogated CD4 (Beckman Coulter)
- Flow Count (Beckman Coulter)
- Easy CD4 (Guava Technologies)
- FACSCount (Becton Dickinson)
- PointCare/AuRICA (PointCare Technologies)

- Viral load
 - <u>COBAS</u> (Roche) (Ampliprep/Amplicor)
 - □ TaqMan (Roche)
 - EasyQ (bioMerieux) (miniMAG/easyMAG)
 - LUX assay (WITS, in house)
 - □ P24 (Perkin Elmer)
 - RT (Cavidi)



lscott@witshealth.co.za

Soon to launch methodcomparison.com