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## Background

- CDC estimates that in 2006 21\% of persons infected with HIV had never been tested or diagnosed and were not aware of their infection (MMWR 2008)
- As of December 31, 2010, 1.4\% of the NYC population (110,736 persons) had been diagnosed, reported and is living with HIVIAIDS.
- How many in NYC are undiagnosed?
- Citywide 2003: 26\%
- Rikers Island 2006: 27\%
- NHANES 2005: 5\%


## Setting

- A high volume emergency room in the Bronx (N~56,000 per year, 8,347 Dec $\rightarrow$ Jan)
- Has proactive voluntary rapid testing program
- All patients who are cognitively and clinically eligible are offered HIV rapid testing by a cadre of counselors that works all shifts seven days per week
- $21 \%$ of patients accepted RT
- 5 newly diagnosed during serosurvey
- 197/8,347 (2.4\%) matched to registry as previously diagnosed

Research Questions

- RT program reached $21 \%$ of persons presenting to ER
- What about the other $80 \%$ ?
- What is the true prevalence of HIV in this ER?


## Objectives and Methods

- Conduct blinded HIV serosurvey using leftover specimens of persons having blood drawn for hematology (CBC) or chemistry in ER (43\% of patients)
- Match RT accepters and specimens to HIV surveillance registry, record diagnosis of matches
- Remove all identifiers from specimens
- Test blinded specimens for HIV and calculate P, N and \% previously undiagnosed

Who accepted RT, who had blood drawn?


## Results

- 2.4\% of patients presenting to ER matched to surveillance registry before blinding and testing
- After blinded testing, 3.3\% (111/3373) were found to be positive
- Among the 111 positives, 14 (13.5\%) were not previously diagnosed, not in surveillance, and not diagnosed by RT during the serosurvey
- Most undiagnosed were black and Hispanic, but largest percentages undiagnosed were among persons aged 65+ and whites

Table 1: HIV Serostatus and Diagnosis Status in the ER by Demographics

|  | Serostatus |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diagnosis Status of Seropositives |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No blood / QNS |  |  | Positive |  |  | Undiagnosed |  |  | Diagnosed |  |  |
|  | N | N | \% | \% | N | \% | \% | N | \% | \% | N | \% | \% |
| Total | 8347 | 4971 | 100.0 | 59.6 | 111 | 100 | 1.3 | 15 | 100 | 13.5 | 96 | 100 | 86.5 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 4528 | 2668 | 53.7 | 58.9 | 48 | 43 | 1.1 | 8 | 53.3 | 16.7 | 40 | 41.7 | 83.3 |
| Male | 3819 | 2303 | 46.3 | 60.3 | 63 | 57 | 1.6 | 7 | 46.7 | 11.1 | 56 | 58.3 | 88.9 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 19 | 19 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Black | 2539 | 1548 | 31.1 | 61.0 | 46 | 41 | 1.8 | 5 | 33.3 | 10.9 | 41 | 42.7 | 89.1 |
| Hispanic | 3811 | 2275 | 45.8 | 59.7 | 44 | 40 | 1.2 | 5 | 33.3 | 11.4 | 39 | 40.6 | 88.6 |
| Other | 1110 | 603 | 12.1 | 54.3 | 16 | 14 | 1.4 | 2 | 13.3 | 12.5 | 14 | 14.6 | 87.5 |
| White | 868 | 526 | 10.6 | 60.6 | 5 | 4.5 | 0.6 |  | 20 | 60 | , | 2.1 | 40 |
| Age group (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <25 | 1577 | 1094 | 22.0 | 69.4 | 6 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6.3 | 100 |
| 25-34 | 1908 | 1280 | 25.7 | 67.1 | 11 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11.5 | 100 |
| 35-44 | 1484 | 937 | 18.8 | 63.1 | 34 | 31 | 2.3 | 7 | 46.7 | 20.6 | 27 | 28.1 | 79.4 |
| 45-54 | 1481 | 873 | 17.6 | 58.9 | 34 | 31 | 2.3 | 5 | 33.3 | 14.7 | 29 | 30.2 | 85.3 |
| 55-64 | 915 | 456 | 9.2 | 49.8 | 22 | 20 | 2.4 | 2 | 13.3 | 9.1 | 20 | 20.8 | 90.9 |
| >=65 | 982 | 331 | 6.7 | 33.7 | 4 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1 | 6.7 | 25 | 3 | 3.1 | 75 |

## Conclusions

- HIV prevalence in this ER was 11 times higher in persons undergoing blood draw than persons accepting RT
- People with blood drawn had a high rate of undiagnosed HIV infections
- The majority of undiagnosed infections were among young black and Hispanic persons
- However, the largest proportions of undiagnosed did not fit any age, race, or risk (as measured by chief complaint) stereotype


## Limitations

- RT was able to reach $19 \%$ of persons presenting to ER
- Testing all those with blood drawn added another 31\%
- Overlap of RT and blood draw was $10 \%$
- Thus, even adding routine testing to all blood draws would have covered only $40 \%$ of the ER
- Need to consider other opportunities, e.g., saving admissions bloods and getting consent from patients after they have stabilized - this would add another 15\% to the coverage


## Recommendations

- Test everyone who comes in the door
- During ER visit
- Later, after admitted and stabilized (use admission blood)
- Don't rely on stereotypes (young, minority) for patient selection - largest percentages of undiagnosed persons did not fit "standard" risk profile
- Take every opportunity to offer testing
- RT for those who accept
- Consent for testing for all patients getting blood drawn
- Later testing for admissions

