CDC's Evidence-Based Recommendations for the Identification of Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) Infection among Persons Born during 1945-1965 in the United States

Bryce D. Smith, PhD¹, Rebecca L. Morgan, MPH¹, Geoff A. Beckett, PA-C, MPH¹, Yngve Falck-Ytter, MD², John W. Ward, MD¹ ¹Division of Viral Hepatitis, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; ²Case Western Reserve University, Case and VA Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio

BACKGROUND

- Persons in the 1945-1965 birth cohort are 4 times more likely to be anti-HCV+ than other adults
 - Anti-HCV prevalence in the birth cohort = 3.25% ¹
- 76.5% of all chronic HCV infections are in the 1945-1965 birth cohort • 68% have medical insurance
- Infected population has modifiable disease co-factors
 - 58% consume \geq 2 alcoholic drinks/day
 - 80% lack Hep A/B vaccination
- Represents 73% of all HCV-associated mortality
- HCV-related deaths doubled from 1999-2007 to over 15,000/year

Rationale for the Birth Cohort Recommendation

- There are significant barriers to HCV testing ²⁻⁵
 - Physician knowledge and experience is limited
 - Patient recall of long-past risk behavior and concerns of stigma is poor
- ALT screening misses more than 50% of chronic cases ⁶
 - 20%-30% of persistently normal ALTs develop advanced liver disease
- 45%-85% of infected persons are unidentified ⁷⁻⁹
- Testing persons born during 1945-1965 is cost effective

METHODS

- GRADE framework
 - Methodology adopted by over 60 organizations including WHO, federal advisory committees (e.g., ACIP), and the Cochrane Collaborative
 - Assess guality of the evidence for critical patient-important outcomes
 - Determine the strength of the recommendations
- Staged review
 - 1st stage: select targeted birth cohort
 - 2nd stage: evaluate the benefits and harms of testing persons born 1945-1965 on patient-important outcomes
- A systematic review and meta-analysis, conducted using MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, Sociological Abstracts, and DARE, examined the development of HCC among HCV-infected persons at all stages of fibrosis or with advanced liver disease (Metavir F3-F4 or Ishak 4-6) achieving SVR or not responding to treatment.
- English-language, observational studies targeting an adult population and with an average follow-up of at least two years were included.
- Two independent investigators reviewed and abstracted full articles.
- Pooled estimates (hazard ratios and incidence rates) were obtained through random-effects meta-analysis using the inverse-variance method.

Key Outcomes of Evidence Review

Harms

- Effect of protease inhibitors on Serious Adverse Events
 - There are serious adverse events associated with Boceprevir- and Telaprevirbased regiments that lead to discontinuation of treatment (RR 1.34, 95% CI 0.95, 1.87).
- Insurability, HCV transmission, false positives, false negatives
- No studies evaluated these potential harms related to HCV testing and the birth cohort

Benefits

- Effect of Telaprevir- and Boceprevir-based therapies on sustained viral response (SVR)
 - Protease inhibitor-based treatment regimens increase the possibility of achieving SVR by 50% (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.47, 0.6)
- SVR and HCC
- Treatment-related SVR associated with a reduced risk of HCC of 75% (0.24; 95% CI=0.18, 0.31)
- SVR and all-cause mortality
- Treatment-related SVR associated with reduced risk of all-cause mortality among persons diagnosed with HCV infection of 50% (RR=0.46; 95% CI=0.41, 0.51)
- Effect of clinician-directed intervention on alcohol use
 - Meta-analysis found decline of alcohol use >38% for >1 year follow-up; indirect evidence for HCV-infected populations

Health and Cost Impact of HCV Testing of Persons Born 1945-1965

Outcome	Birth Cohort Testing with DAA Therapy PegIFN-Riba + TVR
Cirrhosis cases averted	203,000
Decompensated cirrhosis cases averted	74,000
Hepatocellular carcinoma cases averted	47,000
Transplants averted	15,000
Deaths from hepatitis C virus averted	121,000
Medical costs averted	\$2.5b
Cost/QALY gained (Societal)	\$35,700

Recommendations

Adults born during 1945 through 1965 should receive one-time testing for HCV without prior ascertainment of HCV risk factor. (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)

All persons with identified HCV infection should receive a brief alcohol screening and intervention as appropriate, followed by referral to appropriate care and treatment services for HCV infection and related conditions as indicated. (strong recommendation, moderate quality of evidence)





Recommendations for the Identification of Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection Among Persons Born During 1945–1965



Implementation

Launch Know More Hepatitis campaign for public and providers

- Expand capacity for HCV testing and care referral (e.g., FY 12 PPHF)
 - \$5.0M available; > 100 applications
- Enhance surveillance to monitor implementation and impact
- Collaborate with other federal agencies to support testing (e.g., AHRQ, HRSA, CMS) Engage stakeholders
 - Professional societies (e.g., IDSA, ACP, AASLD, CSTE, AMA leadership)
 - Providers (Heath systems, insurers, laboratories)

REFERENCES

- 1. Smith, et al. AASLD, San Francisco, CA. 2011.
- 2. Shehab TM. J Viral Hepat, 2001.
- 3. Shehab TM, et al. Am J Gastroenterol, 2002.
- 4. Serrante IM, et al. Fam Med. 2008
- 5. Shehab TM, et al. Hepatology, 1999.
- 6. Smith, et al. AASLD, San Francisco, CA. 2011
- 7. Roblin, et al. Am J Man Care 2011.
- 8. Spradling, et al., Hepatology, 2012.
- 9. Southern, et al., J Viral Hepat, 2010.

For more information:

Bryce D. Smith, PhD BSmith6@cdc.gov

+1(404) 639-6277

