

How to implement PrEP



**Forum for Collaborative HIV Research Summit
Washington, DC
November 27, 2012**

Sean Cahill, PhD
Director, Health Policy Research
The Fenway Institute
Boston, MA

POLICY FOCUS

PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS FOR HIV PREVENTION: MOVING TOWARD IMPLEMENTATION

SECOND EDITION, JULY 2012

 THE FENWAY INSTITUTE



Modeling shows PrEP and TasP could dramatically reduce HIV infection

- ❑ Recent modeling of PrEP implementation coupled with scaled up treatment predicts that PrEP could significantly reduce HIV incidence and prevalence. Studies focused on:
 - ❑ MSM in San Francisco (Supervie et al., *PNAS*, 2010)
 - ❑ the general adult population in Botswana (Supervie et al. *Scientific Reports*, 2011)
 - ❑ and serodisc heterosexual couples in S. Africa (Hallet et al., *PLoS Medicine*, 2011)

TasP and PrEP will be most effective in combination

- ❑ Abdool Karim and Abdool Karim (*The Lancet*, 2011): provide PrEP and TasP “synergistically”
- ❑ They are “two sides of the same coin, and cannot be viewed in isolation from each other.”
- ❑ Coordination between HRSA and CDC, analogous global agencies is key

Cost effectiveness of PrEP

Studies have shown PrEP can be cost-effective if it is targeted to most vulnerable populations and if adherence is high

Cost effectiveness of PrEP

- ❑ PrEP cost effective in U.S. models with 90% efficacy (Paltiel et al., *Clin Infect Dis*, 2009)
- ❑ PrEP could be cost effective in South Africa if targeted at women at highest risk, has 70% efficacy, and costs 50% less than current price (Walensky et al., CROI, Boston, 2011)
- ❑ PrEP and ART with serodiscordant couples in South Africa saves \$ on ART costs in general, is cost saving overall with 80% efficacy (Hallet et al., *PLoS Medicine*, 2011)

Implementation steps

- ❑ Assessment protocols will have to be developed to determine those whose characteristics (demographic, behavioral) make them eligible to take FTC-TDF as PrEP (Underhill et al., *JAIDS*, 2010).
- ❑ Ongoing clinical counseling and behavioral risk assessment could decrease risk of risk compensation
- ❑ Cognitive behav skills training, electronic reminders (e.g. texts), social support programs could increase adherence (Underhill et al.)

PrEP: Moving toward implementation

- ❑ PrEP must be accompanied by sustained care and behavioral interventions to ensure adherence, minimize risk compensation, and monitor side effects (Underhill et al., 2010).
- ❑ The most effective prevention interventions will be those that combine structural interventions with behavioral interventions and emerging biomedical technologies (Halkitis, 2011).
- ❑ Because the most at-risk do not access regular clinical care, alternative implementation arrangements will be necessary (e.g. offering PrEP at substance use tx facilities, partnering w/ ASOs to provide long-term behav'l counseling to support adherence) (CDC, 2010).
- ❑ National monitoring systems are critical to preventing the spread of drug-resistant HIV (Underhill et al. 2010).

Infrastructure needs

- ❑ PrEP scale-up in low-inc, generalized epidemic countries will require staff development and training; creation of infrastructure, espec. in rural areas; financing the meds; serving areas of high demand; overcoming barriers to accessing care, including stigma; creating monitoring and eval. systems; maintaining adherence; monitoring side effects, emergence of drug-resistance HIV (amFAR, 2008)

Educating communities and providers

- ❑ Limited knowledge of PrEP among MSM, but widespread willingness to use once explained (Mimiaga et al., *JAIDS*, 2009; Krakower et al., *PLoS ONE*, 2012)
- ❑ Many providers unfamiliar with both PEP and PrEP; even those familiar with PrEP need training in these interventions and their role in making them work

Paying for PrEP

- ❑ Cost of PrEP in the U.S. would be substantial, perhaps \$8-\$9k/year.
- ❑ Private insurers (Kaiser Perm., Wellpoint, Aetna) covering, state Medicaid depts open to coverage (FDA approval, PHS Guidance would help).
- ❑ Low-cost generic medications could enable access in low-income countries.
- ❑ Prioritization of highly vulnerable populations could increase cost-effectiveness.
- ❑ Providing PrEP less expensive than treating someone for HIV over lifetime.

Elements of ACA that could enable access to PrEP

- ❑ ACA mandates full coverage (no copays) of a range of preventive services by private insurance
- ❑ ACA mandates coverage of “essential health benefits” by insurance offered in state health exchanges to indivs and small groups
- ❑ EHBs include prescription drugs, prevention and wellness programs
- ❑ Obama Admin. allowing states broad flexibility to determine EHBs; advocacy needed at state level

Recommendations

- ❑ States should provide access to PrEP as a critical prevention service and prescription medication under EHB provision of ACA.
- ❑ Global funders should fund PrEP and TasP.
- ❑ Provision of PrEP to MSM, trans should occur in broader context of clinically competent care for LGBT people. Providers must be trained in LGBT health more broadly.

Recommendations

- ❑ CBOs, health depts should preemptively seek to destigmatize PrEP use among target pops
- ❑ Need for public education re: difference between PEP and PrEP; PEP users should be prioritized for PrEP
- ❑ Funders should support community education campaigns about PrEP and other biomed interventions, enhance community involvement in PrEP roll-out, scale-up

Thank you

Sean Cahill PhD

Director, Health Policy Research

The Fenway Institute

Boston, MA

scahill@fenwayhealth.org

617-927-6016

