





Linkage to HIV Care in the VA Healthcare System

Abstract

Background: Timely linkage to HIV care after diagnosis is critical for achieving optimal health outcomes from antiretroviral therapy (ART). The National HIV/AIDS Strategy seeks to improve linkage to care in the US from 65% to 85% by 2015. Our objective was to examine rates and predictors of linkage to care in VA, one of the largest HIV providers in the US.

Methods: Retrospective cohort study, using the Clinical Case Registry (a national VA database of all HIV-infected veterans who received care at all VA facilities), including veterans identified with HIV in the VA for the first time (VA HIV date) from 1/1998 through 12/2008. The VA HIV date was the earliest VA date of: HIV ICD-9 code, antiretroviral medication (ART) prescription, positive HIV antibody or Western blot test, CD4 count, or HIV viral load (VL). Age, sex, race/ethnicity, first VA CD4 count, and prior outpatient VA use were abstracted. Transfer to VA care with viral suppression (VS) was defined as first ART within 30 days of VA HIV date and VL<500c/mL before/within 30 days of ART. Linkage to care was defined as a clinic visit in an Infectious Diseases, Internal Medicine, or Primary Care clinic (as appropriate in each facility) within 90 days of VA HIV date. Multivariate logistic regression models of linkage were constructed.

Results: 20,363 veterans were identified; 97.3% male; 35.1% white, 50.1% black, 5.3% Hispanic, and 8.9% other/unknown race/ethnicity; mean age 47.2 years (SD 10.5); median first CD4 cell count 315 (IQR 132, 522); 10.7% were transfers with VS. Overall, 75.7% linked to HIV care. Transfers with VS were more likely to link to care (91.9% vs. 73.8%; p<0.001); other variables were statistically significant predictors but absolute differences in linkage were $\leq 6\%$. In multivariate analysis, predictors of linkage were age, race/ethnicity, year of VA HIV date, prior VA outpatient visits, and baseline CD4 cell count, but only transfer with VS had an adjusted OR>2 (3.65 [3.1, 4.3]; p<0.0001).

Conclusions: Linkage to HIV care within the VA, where both HIV care and medications are readily available, is below goal. VA will need effective interventions to increase access to HIV care and linkage to care to meet the National HIV/AIDS Strategy goal. These interventions should target all HIV-infected veterans with the possible exception of transfers with suppressed VL, since no readily clinically available characteristics or CD4 cell count was a clinically useful predictor of linkage to care.

Background

- Timely linkage to HIV care after diagnosis is critical for achieving optimal health outcomes from antiretroviral therapy (ART).^{1,2}
- The National HIV/AIDS Strategy seeks to improve linkage to care in the US from 65% to 85% by 2015.³
- The VA system is one of the largest single providers of HIV care in the US.⁴
- Our objective was to examine rates and predictors of linkage to care in VA.
- We particularly wanted to identify patients who should be targeted for extra support, since that is the next phase of this research

Methods

- Design: Retrospective cohort study.
- Data source: Clinical Case Registry (CCR), a national VA database of all HIV-infected veterans who received care at all VA facilities; each case is locally validated as HIV-positive.^{4,5} CCR data were linked with VA Vital Status file for dates of death, and VA outpatient (OPC) and inpatient (PTF) administrative files to augment race data.
- <u>Time frame</u>: Persons identified in VA with HIV between January 1998 and December 2008, with follow-up through December 2009.
- Facility level variables: We included variables representing facility size, academic affiliation, rural-suburban-urban location, emergency care ratio, and others. While many were statistically significant predictors of linkage, the results were internally inconsistent and none were clinically meaningful. Facility characteristic results are not presented.
- Analysis: Chi-squared analysis and multivariate logistic regression were used to compare persons who linked to persons who did not link.

Metho

- <u>VA HIV date</u>: the date of identification of VA, defined as the earliest of the follow
 - HIV Elisa or EIA positive; WB CD4 cell count done; ART presc assigned to patient
- Linkage to care: Completed clinic visit Internal Medicine or Primary Care Clin date, using the following rule:
 - If HIV identified at a VA facility outpatient visits for HIV-infected Infectious Diseases clinic, then o clinic visits count for linkage to
 - If HIV identified at a VA facility outpatient visits for HIV-infected Infectious Diseases clinic, then a Internal Medicine, and Primary linkage to care.
 - Transfers to VA with viral suppr ART within 30 days of first HIV days of first ART date
- <u>Confirmatory analyses</u>: Due to missing confounding, three analyses were condu and using "missing" categories; droppin lab data; and not using any lab variables

Result



Thomas P. Giordano, MD, MPH, Christine Hartman, PhD, Jessica A. Davila, PhD, Peter Richardson, PhD, **Crystal Stafford, MPH, and Maria C. Rodriguez-Barradas, MD**

Houston Health Services Research and Development Center of Excellence, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, and the Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

ls						Table 2: Multivariate Results and Sensitivity Analyses				Disc
of UIV positive status in the	Table 1: Baseline						Adj. OR of Failure to Link to Care (95% CI)			• Linkage to UIV core in the VA
ing:	Characteristics and Linkage to HIV Care					With All Lab Categories	Drop 'Missing' Categories	No Lab Variables	medications are readily available remains below goal.	
cribed; HIV ICD-9 code		All	Linked	Not	P-value	Sex (compared to	o male)			• Results are consistent with
in the Infectious Disease, ic within 90 days of VA HIV		n = 20,363 (%)	to Care (%)	Linked to Care (%)		Females	1.06 (0.86, 1.30)	1.00 (0.80, 1.25)	1.15 (0.94, 1.39)	• Demographic characteristics were of linkage to care, including age
	All Patients	100	75.7	24.3	n/a	Race (compared	to White)			Older veterans had more de
in which $>75\%$ of all	Sex				.32	Black	1.18	1.20	1.13	Black veterans had more d these delays caused disperies
d veterans are in the	Females	2.8	74.0	26.0			(1.10, 1.28)*	(1.10, 1.30)*	(1.05, 1.22)*	study.
only Infectious Diseases	Males	97.3	75.8	24.2		Hispanic	1.00	1.01	1.00	• Persons who were recent u
care. $\sqrt{10} = \sqrt{10} \sqrt{10}$	Race				<.0001	Other unk	1 40	(0.83, 1.20)	1 9/	identification of HIV infec
d veterans are in the	Black	50.8	75.7	24.3		Other, unk	(1.23, 1.58)*	(1.22, 1.62)*	(1.65 <i>,</i> 2.07)*	HIV care.
any Infectious Diseases, Care clinic visit counts for	White	35.1	77.8	22.2		Age (compared t	o <40)			• None of these characteristics, ho
	Hispanic	5.3	78.0	22.0		40-49	1.11	1.10	1.20	promoting linkage
	Other. unk.	8.9	66.4	33.7			(1.02, 1.22)*	(1.00, 1.21)	(1.10, 1.31)*	Between 70 and 80% of all
date and VL<500 within 30	Age				.001	50 and	1.06	1.04	1.24	of demographic characterisLaboratory data predicting succe
	<40	22.4	77.9	22.1		above	(0.97, 1.17)	(0.95, 1.15)	(1.14, 1.36)*	
laboratory data and potential	40-49	37.4	75.0	25.0		CD4 cell count (f	irst; compared	to ≤ 200)		load and lower CD4 cell count. I with suppressed VL are between
icted: with all lab variables	50 and above	40.4	75.2	24.8		200 - 500	1.04 (0.95 1.14)	1.02 (0.93, 1.12)	n/a	care than other patients.
S.	CD4 cell count (fi	rst)	73.2	21.0	< 0001	> 500	1 10	1.06	n/a	 Laboratory data are difficu
	< 200	32.5	79 9	20.1		- 500	(1.00, 1.22)	(0.96, 1.18)	ny a	utility in predicting linkage
S	200 - 500	36.0	78.6	20.1		Done, result	1.51	1.45	n/a	• The fact that the labs
	> 500	25.7	77.0	23.0		missing	(1.22, 1.88)*	(1.16, 1.83)*		care.
	Done result	23.7	68.0	32.0		Never done	2.85	n/a	n/a	• Results may not be a
	missing	2.2	00.0	52.0			$(2.30, 3.44)^{-1}$			enter care, when inter
death dates (n=76)	Never done	5.5	30.7	69.3			rst; compared t	0 (500)	n In	deployed.
>90 d after death	HIV viral load (fir	st)			<.0001	VS	(0.16, 0.22)*	0.19 (0.16, 0.22)*	n/a	• Limitations: Care outside the VA
ate of death by >90 d at not in Vital Status file	Transfer w VS	10.7	91.9	8.1		500-100,000	0.54 (0.49, 0.59)*	0.53 (0.49, 0.58)*	n/a	
	< 500	18.3	67.0	33.0						Conc
	500 - 100,000	43.0	79.3	20.7		> 100,000	0.44	0.43	n/a	
<u>r follow-up (n=326)</u> osis and death in same	> 100,000	20.2	82.8	17.2			(0.39, 0.49)*	(0.38, 0.48)*		• Linkage to HIV care within the
spitalization	Done, result	0.5	73.4	26.6		Done, result	0.79	0.80 (0 52 1 23)	n/a	• Effective interventions will be n
fore first HIV date	missing					Never done	2 26	n/a	n/a	and linkage to care to meet the N
<u>ut HIV status (n=403)</u> of HIV is single ICD-9 code	Never done	7.3	33.2	66.8			(1.92, 2.67)*	ny a	ny a	• No readily available demograph
	Hospitalized in ye	Hospitalized in year before HIV date			.03	Hospitalized in year before HIV date (compared to none)		to none)	predicted linkage with sufficient	
	None	98.8	75.8	24.2		1 or more	1.36	1.27	1.43	• Interventions to improve linkage
<u>for follow-up (n=1384)</u> fter 12/31/20008	1 or more	1.2	69.8	30.3			(1.01, 1.83)*	(0.92, 1.76) (1.08, 1.90)*	HIV-intected veterans, with the suppressed VI	
	Outpatient visits	Outpatient visits in year before HIV date <.0001			Outpt visits in yr before HIV date (compared to			none)	suppressed v L.	
JS Territory (n=321)	None	39.1	74.0	26.0		1 or more	0.86	0.88	0.85	References and
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 or more	60.9	76.9	23.1		Neer of first LUV	$(0.00, 0.95)^{+} (0.82, 0.95)^{+} (0.80, 0.91)^{+} = {}^{1} \text{Gardner, Clin Infect Dis}$	¹ Gardner, <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 2011; 52:793-800. ² Metsch, <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 2008; 47:577-584.		
	Year of first HIV				<.0001			.998 - 2000)	0.74	³ National HIV/AIDS Strategy for the US, available at www.whitehouse.gov/onap.
	1998 - 2000	31.4	72.0	28.1		2001 - 2004	0.76	0.74 (0.68, 0.80)*	0.74 (0.68, 0.80)*	Downloaded 9/29/2011. ⁴ Backus, Arch Intern Med 2010; 170:1239-1246.
	2001 - 2004	38.7	77.0	23.1		2005 - 2008	0.67	0.62	0.69	⁵ Backus, <i>J Am Med Inform Assoc</i> 2009; 16:775- 783.
he Cohort	2005 - 2008	29.9	78.2	21.9			(0.61, 0.73)*	(0.56, 0.68)*	(0.64, 0.75)*	⁶ Marks, <i>AIDS</i> 2010; 24:2665-2678. ⁷ Bamford, <i>AIDS</i> 2010; 24:928-930.
						*P value <.05.				



ussion

where both HIV care and le, has improved over time, but

a data from a recent meta-analysis.⁶

ere statistically significant predictors e, race, and prior VA use.

- delayed linkage to care.⁷
- delayed linkage to care.^{8,9} Whether rities in outcomes is currently under
- users of VA outpatient care before ction linked more successfully to
- owever, predicted linkage well sub-population from interventions
- ll persons linked to care, regardless istics (see Table 1).
- cessful linkage include higher viral Persons entering VA care on ART 2 and 5 times more likely to link to
 - ult to interpret and may be of limited ge and targeting interventions:
 - were done may be both a marker of care and a predictor of linkage to
 - available at the time persons seek to erventions would need to be
- A system is not accounted for.

lusions

- VA, where both HIV care and le, is below goal.
- needed to increase access to HIV care National HIV/AIDS Strategy goal.
- nic characteristic or CD4 cell count magnitude to be clinically useful.
- e to care in the VA should target all possible exception of transfers with

cknowledgements

⁸Torian, Arch Intern Med 2008; 168:1181-1187. ⁹Tripathi, AIDS Care 2011; 23:1366-1373.

Supported by VA HSR&D grant IBB 09-033 and the facilities and resources of the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston. The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.